

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_124105
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge state of two endemic varieties of <i>Dioscorea cayenensis-rotundata</i> with yellow flesh ("Kounougbé" and "Kangba") cultivated in the Gbêkê region and the level of chemical weeding use
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Spelling and grammar mistake in abstract. This article emphasized importance of Yam varieties and how people value it based on different criterias.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Spelling correction, Key words need to be changed	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Comparison chart of 2 yam together need to be added. Bar diagram use can be avoided and use other graphical methods.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript submitted need to be corrected from grammar side. More reference needs to be added. Discussion also. Statistical tool need to be used in a proper way while interpreting results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, but need to add few latest one. Reference style need to be checked.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Grammar error seen. Kindly check it	
Optional/General comments	Overall structure of data in result need to be compared especially. Bar diagram of each comparison. Instead of individual plant comparison, bring 2 plants together and compare, repetition of bar diagram can be avoided.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pinkie Cherian
Department, University & Country	St Joseph's College for Women, India