

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Biotechnology Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_125421
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Optimization of Biocatalytic Conversion of Rice Husk to Single Cell Protein Using Bacterial Fermentation</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscripts provides the information about SCP and the specific factors which can affect or support the production of SCP using some of bacteria.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<b>NO.</b> Abstract shall present the most significant findings and novelty of this article in a single paragraph without fragmentations. It shall not be a description of introduction and methods used simply.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<b>NO.</b>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript requires some of points to be rectify before the publication. This points have nothing with the novelty of the data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The introduction is very short and important research papers published in the last few years by this journal can be cited to improve the connectivity. Refer this paper for better improvement. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2022.08.009">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2022.08.009</a> <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2024.136460">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2024.136460</a> <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/s41538-024-00299-2">https://doi.org/10.1038/s41538-024-00299-2</a>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	NO, lots of grammatical mistakes are there and no proper sentence for the explanation of results.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Some points to be consider as below: 1. Conclusions can be shortened by including the most significant results/findings in 3-5 lines of a single paragraph. 2. Many methods are not properly described or have insufficient information. i.e. carbon optimization, pH and incubation time. 3. Some Methods are not suitable for this manuscript, see in the manuscript. 4. Microorganisms name must be written in <b><i>Italics</i></b> . 5. In graphical images Different fonts are used, the complete manuscripts must have single font (New time roman) and same size (12) in descriptive data.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nipun Bariya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Veer Narmad South Gujarat University, Dr. A P J Abdul Kalam Govt College, India</b>