

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_125443
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth analysis, NPK uptake and Crude Protein Content in diversified Cropping System under Natural Farming
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is good manuscript and very need full in future, I really like this because the work is need to be explore in future	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yea, It is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please go through this section and make the correction as per requierd	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes it appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound but some place make the changes as per required	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some section showed the old references so please change it as per required	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes it is suiatable	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Overall revaluation is good and acceptable	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Praveen Kumar Jaga
Department, University & Country	Jawaharlal Nahru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya (JNKVV), India