

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_125354
Title of the Manuscript:	PHARMACOGNOSTIC STUDIES OF THE LEAVES OF <i>Pseuderanthemum carruthersii</i> (Seem.) Guillaumin var. <i>carruthersii</i> (ACANTHACEAE)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of **'Lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<i>Pseuderanthemum maculatum</i> (G.Lodd.) I.M.Turner [previously <i>Pseuderanthemum carruthersii</i> (Seem.) Guillaumin var. <i>carruthersii</i>] is an ornamental plant with potential pharmacognostic uses. There hadn't been much work done on this plant previously hence making the current research work very much significant.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current work encompasses a wide spectrum of studies and the pharmacognostic uses of the plant haven't been established yet. So it is suggested to alter the title that gives a glimpse of the work. Suggestive title:- Microscopic, Micromeritic and Phytochemical analysis of the leaves of <i>Pseuderanthemum maculatum</i> (G.Lodd.) I.M.Turner.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and manages to catch the essence of the work. See the reviewed manuscript attached below for minor suggestions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This work comprises of wide range of studies including GC-MS analysis for detecting phytochemical composition of the leaves. Structures of important phytochemical compounds are given along with probable pharmacognostic uses. References are cited aptly.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Use the correct accepted name of the plant and see the reviewed manuscript attached below for any other minor suggestions.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saurav Paul
Department, University & Country	Jhargram Raj College, India