

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_125354
Title of the Manuscript:	PHARMACOGNOSTIC STUDIES OF THE LEAVES OF <i>Pseuderanthemum carruthersii</i> (Seem.) Guillaumin var. <i>carruthersii</i> (ACANTHACEAE)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of **„Lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A	The manuscript presents significant for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into the medicinal properties, chemical composition, and pharmacological potential of this plant. This research can help identify bioactive compounds, supporting traditional uses or uncovering new medicinal benefits. Overall, the research is	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is appropriately suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions	Yes, the abstract is completely comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> □ Add the numbering of subsections 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be	The manuscript contributes to the body of knowledge in plant-based medicine, ethnopharmacology, and the potential for developing natural alternatives to synthetic drugs, fostering biodiversity conservation and sustainable use of plant resources.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add some recent references Modify the references into journal format	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Mostly yes	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> □ Abstract should be in capitals □ All main heading should be in capitals, bold and numbered like 1. INTRODUCTION, 2.MATERILAS AND METHODS, 3. RESULTS, etc. □ Conclusion also should be in capitals and add the numbering □ Check the font, punctuations, and spaces between two words throughout the manuscript □ Arrange the tables and figure & the numberings into journal format □ In result, table values are mismatched in described result part □ In discussion, mentioned figure 11 but not cited inside the manuscript □ In discussion, mentioned moisture content value was recorded in table 6 but as per your result that was in table 5 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. S. Sujatha
Department, University & Country	Government Arts College (A), Coimbatore, India