

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125215
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF IPM MODULE FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF KEY INSECT PESTS IN CHILLIES
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The current manuscript highlights the importance of IPM module and its components in the management of pest complex infesting chilli. In the current scenario of residue free agriculture, increasing awareness on the effects of injudicious use of synthetics in agro-ecosystems, this research article is highly useful to the different stakeholders	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>Appropriate</p> <p>The word chillies may be rewritten as chilli</p>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Author has mentioned the data pertaining to pre-count data of insect pests such as thrips, mites and fruit borer. It is not required in the abstract section. Author is required to highlight the findings/results of the study	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The field experiment was conducted by following the proper procedures. The choice of the treatments and their combinations are found correct and meet the objectives of the study	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Sufficient. Need to follow the bibliography according to the journals format	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the introduction section, page no.3 author has mentioned about the rationality of the study citing that the present study was carried out with following objectives. But, in the manuscript, the actual objectives of the study are not mentioned</p> <p>Introduction part is too lengthy. Author has described the role of trap cropping and intercropping in detail. It may not be required in detail. It can be shortened</p> <p>In material and methods, author has mentioned Seed treatment with Bacillus species? 2 g/lit? Kindly mention about the formulation/whether market formulation used? Check the unit of the dose 2g/lit? It is not appropriate.</p> <p>Author has mentioned the use of fruit fly trap in IPM module? What is the rationality of using fruit fly trap for this study</p> <p>Author is informed to correlate the relationship between micronutrients and pest incidence in the introduction section</p> <p>Discussion part is very poor. Need to be rewritten this part</p> <p>In all the tables, foot note is required mentioning about the original and transformed values</p> <p>In table 3, fruit damage (%) has been mentioned. How was it calculated? Whether on number or weight basis of the fruits? Mention in the material and methods section</p> <p>The data presented in the graphs is duplication of the data mentioned in the tables. Keep either tables or graphs.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Raghunandan B.L.</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Anand Agricultural University, India</p>