

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_124723
Title of the Manuscript:	Patterns of Internet use among adolescents in Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study seems to explore an interesting aspect: the usage of Internet among adolescents in Saudi Arabia. But it does not comment upon whether Internet use affects the daily lives of these adolescents. I could see some information like academic scores and physical activity at the Supplementary data section, but since it has not been analysed in context to Internet use, I wonder if it would be right to comment on whether Internet use is problematic or if supervision is required at all. The discussion is not specific to findings of the study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The results are well compiled but I think the need of the study could be highlighted more. It is also important to analyse the conclusion. What does these figures mean in practical terms?	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cluster sampling is a good approach. But the sample size calculation is not very clear. Usually, 10% of population is considered for sample size, which is not the case here. 2. There could have been 1-2 figures for better visualisation. 3. The Chi square test seems to compare boys and girls but it hasn't been mentioned in the discussion explicitly. 4. The discussion does not explain the findings of the study elaborately. What does it mean: parental usage vs siblings, is parental control adequate, is internet use causing any problems to adolescents- these questions remain unanswered. 5. Was there any exclusion criteria? 6. Could Covid affect the usage of internet since this study was done in 2020? 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Discussion could be a little more elaborate and specific to findings of the study. More references could be added.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	It is a good study but it needs to bring the results in context. One does not know what to make out of these findings?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Megha Agrawal
Department, University & Country	School of Psychology and Neuroscience, University of Glasgow, United Kingdom