

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_125098
Title of the Manuscript:	The Silent Struggle: Addressing Post-COVID Health Challenges with Booster Doses
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it addresses a pressing issue that has garnered increasing attention in recent years. However, I believe it could benefit from a deeper exploration of certain limitations, which would further enhance its overall impact and transparency.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title of the article is very suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>The abstract of the article is generally comprehensive, effectively summarizing the key objectives, methods, results, and conclusions. However, I suggest a few enhancements for clarity and completeness in small correction in abstract.</p> <p>First, it could benefit from a more explicit statement of the research question or hypothesis at the beginning, which would provide context for the reader. Additionally, including specific numerical results or key findings in the results section would strengthen the impact of the abstract. Lastly, a brief mention of the broader implications of the findings could help underscore the significance of the research. These adjustments would make the abstract even more informative and engaging.</p>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The post-COVID-19 consequences on the heart, nervous system, and psyche are becoming more apparent.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific correctness of this manuscript is evident through its meticulous methodology and thorough data analysis. The authors employ well-established techniques and provide a comprehensive overview of their experimental design, ensuring that their findings are both replicable and reliable. Additionally, the statistical analyses are appropriate and robust, strengthening the validity of their conclusions. Overall, the manuscript demonstrates a high level of technical soundness, supported by a solid literature review that contextualizes the research within the broader scientific framework.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes language and table is suitable but please check the grammar and plagiarism.	
Optional/General comments	<p>Coronavirus Disease-19 (COVID-19), primarily an infectious disease of the respiratory tract caused by Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS CoV-2), has triggered the rapid expansion of the pandemic worldwide, including in Bangladesh, and has left a deep impact on physical and mental health.</p> <p>Health authorities should focus on promoting booster uptake while continuing research into long-term COVID pathophysiology to improve targeted interventions.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Najmeen Nisha
Department, University & Country	ERA's Lucknow Medical College & Hospital, India