

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_125702
Title of the Manuscript:	Multi-Dimensional Relative Vulnerability Assessment of Santhal Pargana, Jharkhand
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is informative in vulnerability analysis. All the relevant factors are taken into account for the analysis. It is informative for proactive policy decisions at the implementation level in vulnerability risk management.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is condensed (please see the text) Author is requested to go through the text modified under this head. If any content is missing it may be added	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Introduction – Restrict to one paragraph Author is requested to condense the text	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Right approach is taken up considering the various factors involved in vulnerability analysis. Results – All the small paragraphs may be brought in one paragraph without losing the information.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Reduce to a maximum of 10 in number	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	OK The whole text may be condensed to five pages with two important tables and three Figs. (Authors can think and modify in that direction). Abstract – corrected Introduction – Condense to one paragraph Material and methods – condense to two paragraphs	
Optional/General comments	The article is informative. It is handy for policy makers. The article may be published after revision. Results – condense to one paragraph Conclusion – Retain as such The article is informative. It is handy for policy makers. The article may be published after revision.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gslhv Prasada Rao
Department, University & Country	Kerala Agricultural University, India