

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_125406
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of Deep Learning Based Object Detection Algorithms
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Deep Learning Based Object Detection Algorithms is suitable for the scientific community. Nowadays, object identification technology is constantly evolving and progressing, and its accuracy and reaction time have improved significantly when compared to conventional techniques.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the Article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Images must be of high quality, with a minimum resolution of 300 dpi. The abstract and conclusion need to be concise and redrafted with specific attention to the proposed work and findings.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article examines the evolution of object identification algorithms, with an emphasis on two-stage, one-stage, and transformer-based methods. Furthermore, the performance, benefits, and drawbacks of various methods are compared, and the evolution of object identification techniques based on deep learning is summarised in the conclusion.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient & up-to-date.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some grammatical errors can be easily seen in the manuscript. Authors must revise the manuscript and check the entire manuscript for typos, spelling mistakes and grammatical errors.	
Optional/General comments	Figure 1 & Figure 2 are blurred, use high quality images. Text is also not properly visible in the Figures. Keywords should be improved by providing a minimum of 6-8 technical based keywords.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pankaj Dadheech
Department, University & Country	Swami Keshvanand Institute of Technology, India