

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Experimental Agriculture International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JEAI_126011 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Physicochemical and nutritional evaluation of mango purees from 3 departments of the Savanes district during their conservation |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The relevance of the article occurs since. mango ranking fifth in global fruit production; Offers many nutritional benefits and represents an essential source of income; This production constitutes a substantial source of income for farmers; Evaluate the impact of two conservation methods on physicochemical and nutritional properties. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract of the article is consistent with the proposal of the work. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The article details the relevance of mango production for the region; Presents data on nutritional values; It presents data on problems with post-harvesting of fruits and how this influences the market. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Yes, references are sufficient. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes. | |
| Optional/General comments | The article presents relevant data for the scientific community. I recommend acceptance. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Cássio Ricardo Gonçalves Da Costa |
| Department, University & Country | Federal Rural University of the Semiárid, Brazil |