

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_125809
Title of the Manuscript:	Macropropagation of Red Banana
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is recommended to update the bibliographic references, at least 80% should be from the last 5 years. And check that all of them are mentioned in the work. As for the objective of the work, it should be highlighted, as well as the working hypothesis. On the other hand, the innovation of the work and its specific contribution should be highlighted. It should include conclusions	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	the article is scientifically and technically sound as it uses the scientific method to evaluate the different variables of the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	the bibliography must be updated	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of English should be improved	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juan Hernandez Avila
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of the State of Hidalgo, Mexico