

**EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. They have not stated the study objectives. It is only in the conclusion that they mention the study aims. They should be stated at the end of the introduction.</li> <li>2. Once the objectives are stated, they will be determine the methods that will be used to achieve them.</li> <li>3. This will also determine whether the results and discussions achieved the study goals, and how they compare with other studies.</li> <li>4. In the methodology, the authors should make clear what the applied technologies were applied. Do they mean the practices applied in the demonstration plots?</li> <li>5. Authors have provided a reference [1] on how individual farmers were indentified and how demonstration plots were demarkated. However, it would be beneficial to indicate how this was done.</li> <li>6. They should also provide information on how the collected data was analysed.</li> <li>7. Authors should just state the facts and restrain from repeating reporting or discussing results in the conclusion.</li> <li>8. Authors can improve on this by trying to discuss their results in relation to other more recent references.</li> </ol>	<p><b>Included at the end of introduction</b></p> <p>Methodology for each all the variables is given to achieve the objective of the study            Yes, in the present study intervened technology was compared with the farmers practices, and discussed with findings of other researchers.</p> <p>Yes, intervened technology is explained in material and methods section, and it was given in Table1 also.</p> <p>Given the detailed process of farmers selection in material and methods section</p> <p>It is included now in materials and method section of the manuscript</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p>