

Editor's Comment:

General comments

Authors have attempted to respond to reviewer comments. However, there are a few issues that authors should respond to before the manuscript can be published. These include:

1. They have not stated the study objectives. It is only in the conclusion that they mention the study aims. They should be stated at the end of the introduction.
2. Once the objectives are stated, they will be determine the methods that will be used to achieve them.
3. This will also determine whether the results and discussions achieved the study goals, and how they compare with other studies.
4. In the methodology, the authors should make clear what the applied technologies were applied. Do they mean the practices applied in the demonstration plots?
5. Authors have provided a reference [1] on how individual farmers were indentified and how demonstration plots were demarkated. However, it would be beneficial to indicate how this was done.
6. They should also provide information on how the collected data was analysed.
7. Authors should just state the facts and restrain from repeating reporting or discussing results in the conclusion.
8. Authors can improve on this by trying to discuss their results in relation to other more recent references.

Editorial decision.

The authors should perform a minor review and respond to the above comments. If it will be helpful for me to review the revised manuscript, I am happy to do so within a short notice. Otherwise, if well done, the manuscript can be published without my involvement.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Bonface O. Manono

Extension Professor, Colorado State University, Office of Engagement and Extension, USA and Senior Lecturer, South Eastern Kenya University, Kenya.