

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_125231
Title of the Manuscript:	Advances in Triticale (X Triticosecale) Improvement: Chromosome Manipulation and Biotechnological Approaches.
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The present era of biological science revolves around modifying existing germplasm with modern-day molecular and biotechnological tools. The manuscript focuses on the various conventional and modern strategies to elevate the existing Triticale crop. This manuscript will necessarily enlighten readers to delve into a better understanding of Triticale cultivation and the further improvement required that may open new avenues of research	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and appropriate.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript must be restructured to include headings like Strategies, Advantages, Disadvantages, and Future Prospects with challenges.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author has keenly reviewed the existing literature and made a manuscript that could be really helpful for readers to elevate their present knowledge about triticale germplasm improvement. The manuscript is robust however technically it should be more inclusive by comparing both the pros and cons of the genome modifying techniques.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient however, remove very old references except if they are pioneer studies.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, language quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are not any ethical issues regarding the manuscript

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harshit Saxena
Department, University & Country	ICAR-IVRI, India