

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	JournalofExperimentalAgricultureInternational
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JEAI_125096
TitleoftheManuscript:	PerformanceofFrenchBeanGenotypesatLateSeasonSowinginSylhet,Bangladesh
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearchArticle

[ReviewForm3](#)

PART1: ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript titled "Performance of French Bean Genotypes at Late Season Sowing in Sylhet, Bangladesh" holds significant importance for the scientific community, particularly in the context of sustainable agriculture and crop adaptation to local environments. By evaluating the performance of different French bean genotypes under late-season sowing conditions, it offers insights into optimizing planting times for enhanced productivity, especially in regions with specific climatic challenges like Sylhet. The research also contributes to understanding genotype-environment interactions, which is vital for selecting the best-performing varieties in diverse Agro-ecological zones. I appreciate this manuscript for its relevance in addressing local agricultural constraints, though I would have liked to see a more detailed exploration of environmental variables affecting the results.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title "Performance of French Bean Genotypes at Late Season Sowing in Sylhet, Bangladesh" is informative and suitable, as it clearly outlines the focus of the study, location, and crop involved. However, it could be made more engaging and specific by emphasizing the key aspect of the research, such as adaptability or yield performance.</p> <p>An alternative title could be: "Adaptability and Yield Performance of French Bean Genotypes under Late Season Sowing Conditions in Sylhet, Bangladesh".</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Suggestions for Improvement:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Clarity in the Objective: Replace "with a view to improving pod yield at late winter in Bangladesh" with "to assess the yield performance of French bean genotypes under late-season sowing conditions in Sylhet, Bangladesh." Grammatical Corrections: Revise "Seeds four French bean genotypes including BARI Jharsheem-1, jharsheem-2 jharsheem-3 and Local were sown..." to "Seeds of four French bean genotypes, including BARI Jharsheem-1, Jharsheem-2, Jharsheem-3, and a local variety, were sown..." Consistency in Naming Conventions: Ensure consistent capitalization of all references to *Jharsheem*. Highlight Key Findings: Condense the results: "Among the genotypes, BARI Jharsheem-1 had the highest pod yield and yield per decimal. Sowing on December 25 produced higher yields (73.57 kg/decimal) than November 25 (68.09 kg/decimal)." Conclusion and Broader Impact: Add a concluding sentence: "These findings suggest the potential to improve French bean yield and market availability during late winter through optimized genotype selection and sowing dates." 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear appropriate, covering essential areas such as the objective, methodology, results, and conclusions. They provide a clear flow, making it easy for readers to follow the study's progression.</p>	

ReviewForm3

<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript titled "Performance of French Bean Genotypes at Late Season Sowing in Sylhet, Bangladesh" demonstrates scientific robustness through its use of a well-structured experimental design, specifically the Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications, which ensures the reliability of the data collected. The careful selection of multiple genotypes allows for a comprehensive comparison of their performance under late-season sowing conditions, providing valuable insights into genotype adaptability. Furthermore, the statistical analysis used to evaluate the differences in pod yield and other agronomic traits enhances the technical soundness of the study. Overall, the combination of rigorous methodology, thorough analysis, and clear presentation of results supports the scientific validity of the findings.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>-</p>	<p>The references in the manuscript are a good starting point; however, they could be strengthened by including more recent works to reflect the latest research in the field. To enhance the manuscript's credibility and depth, I suggest adding additional references, particularly those published within the last five years that focus on the performance of French bean genotypes, late-season sowing practices, and related agronomic studies. This will provide a broader context for the findings and demonstrate an awareness of current developments in the field.</p>	
<p><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication, with no serious major issues noted. While the manuscript effectively conveys its findings, minor grammatical corrections and stylistic improvements could enhance clarity and overall readability.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Md. Daraj Uddin Prodhan</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Bangladesh</p>