

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_119378</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of new rice varieties under delayed transplanting on yield parameters and nutrient uptake in North coastal zone</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Manuscript is important provided that a major revision be made, The authors focused on the main research work; However, the introduction, materials and methods and results and discussions lacks coordination and flow. There is also a number of language and editorial problems. I like it since the experiment is done adequately.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest the following  Evaluation of new rice varieties under delayed transplanting on yield parameters and nutrient uptake in <b>North coastal zone, India</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is not comprehensive, abstract should include introduction, materials and methods, results and discussion and conclusions. However, in this manuscript, I couldn't see introduction, methodology and conclusions. I have recommended the addition and deletion in the track change	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Somehow good	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is actually an experimental research, field trial and so challenging and done well. It is so good since the experiment is done practically, and the result is promising. Treatment are based on the scientific standard as well.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not actually; I recommend to use it based on Endnote /Medley	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs revision	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript needs major revision and be resubmitted	

Comment [H1]: Where is north coastal zone???  
Be specific

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Solomon Addisu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bahir Dar University, Ethiopia</b>