

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_119378
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of new rice varieties under delayed transplanting on yield parameters and nutrient uptake in North coastal zone
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	First of all, why sandy loam soil is selected for the use in this experiment, any general or specific reason about of the area of the land. need to be addressed it before the acceptance. Secondly three age seedling of rice is justifiable for transplanting, I think this is mistake or blunder or after germination of seed three days old seedlings, need to be more clarified	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract need to be modified according to above suggestions	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientific approach is good but technically flaws and many gaps that need for correction and clarification It is better if the data is explained in graphs as well as tables form in both	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient and recent	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality is so good	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Article selected for publication after suitable correction	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Allah Bakhsh Gulshan
Department, University & Country	Ghazi University, Pakistan