

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_125068
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of standard treatment for non-specific low back pain combined with multimodal osteopathy treatment on pain intensity and functional capacity: a study protocol for a randomized controlled trial
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This survey explores effect of exercises combined of osteopathy at patient with CNLBP. The trail follows the eligible criteria for scientific experiment. Methods, material and protocol are clear.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Effect of standard physical exercises treatment for non-specific low back pain combined with multimodal osteopathy treatment on pain intensity and functional capacity: a study protocol for a randomized controlled trial.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well organized.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is appropriate. I don't see the conclusion.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	My recommendations are to data. I do not see pre- post-data and data from statistical analysis. There is discrepancy in age of participants from abstract and article. Without data analysis the author cannot prove the hypothesis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The literature review should be in alphabetical order.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vesela Dimitrova
Department, University & Country	National Sports Academy "Vassil Levski", Bulgaria