

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_125068
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of standard treatment for non-specific low back pain combined with multimodal osteopathy treatment on pain intensity and functional capacity: a study protocol for a randomized controlled trial</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study done is clinical based which can be stepping stone for future research works.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p><b>No</b> Please remove the word <i>a study protocol for a randomized controlled trial</i> from the title.</p> <p>Please keep the title short and simple.</p> <p><b>Example:</b> Assessment of intensity and functional capacity osteopathy treatment in treating the non- specific low back pain.</p>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please rewrite the abstract. Remove the headings objectives, material and methods and results from the abstract and write the abstract in a continuous format. In such a way that you first introduce the research topic on a broader level then write narrow down it to your research topic and then to your research gap and then mention what you have done and what you have got. This should be done under the word limit of 250 words.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Osteopathy has also shown good clinical responses as a non-pharmacological treatment to reduce pain index and disability scores in patients with chronic low back pain. It is a good technique to assess the low back pain and presenting more research works on such topic is necessary.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p><b>No.</b> There is need to show more references which can form a definite research gap showing the need to do the present research work done by the author.</p>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The author has not cited the source of the Fig.1, 2, 3 if they have adopted or they are taken by author during the clinical trial please do clear this.</p> <p>The Table. 1 is kept in the screenshot format which is not allowed. It is advised author to keep it in the table format but not in the screen shot format.</p> <p>Please mention the clinic name where the clinical trial has been carried out. The standard treatments that have been taken to assess the osteopathy which are mentioned in Table 1 please show the source for this Table.1. The exercise treatment should be taken with the support of the proper physiotherapy clinical code. The author is advised to cite the clinical code where these exercises which author has taken are mentioned to be done to treat the Osteopathy. Codal practice should be cited.</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shaik Balkhis Banu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Fatima College of Health Sciences, United Arab Emirates</b>