

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_123852
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTIONS OF OPERATING ROOM NURSING STAFF ABOUT THE NEW PROTOCOLS DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>Nurses played an important role in treating COVID-19 patients since they were responsible for enforcing isolation policies, delivering care to patients, connecting with families, providing health education on coronavirus prevention, and managing patient conditions. Nurses battling on the front lines encounter a number of problems, including a serious shortage of nurses, medical supplies, and a variety of mental health issues such as burnout and fear of infection. Thus, analysing the surgical centre's nursing team's adaption process during the deployment of new safety standards during the COVID-19 pandemic is extremely important.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is suitable.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>It might be beneficial to modify the methodology section of the abstract to improve clarity. It is also essential to check the tool selections. A few more major findings of the study can be added to the abstract.</p> <p>The analysis and discussion section was satisfactory. However, it is preferable to include a separate section that highlights the study's significant findings and makes recommendations.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The methodology section can be updated. The methodology section makes no indication of the tool used. The sample selection was outstanding. However, the interview schedule may be a better tool than the questionnaire given in the abstract section of this particular study.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>It is noted that the most recent reference quoted in the paper was from 2014. It is suggested to add the recent studies.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes. But there are some grammatical and capitalisation problems.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>Many contexts do not lend themselves to referencing. The referencing style also not properly followed.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Subhitha Menon U</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mercy College, India</b>