

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_123852
Title of the Manuscript:	Perceptions Of Operating Room Nursing Staff About The New Protocols During The Covid-19 Pandemic
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is relevant, topical, and contemporary to the recent surge of the COVID-19 pandemic. It states the impact of the pandemic on healthcare, specifically the nursing community. The approach by the nursing staff to combat this is helpful as a valuable addition to the lessons learned database and helpful should there be a recurrence of a similar situation.</p> <p>With physicians and patients getting in the limelight, the manuscript, which discusses the nursing community as a lifeline of healthcare, is essential. A few points to consider</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The pandemic is not ongoing now (The WHO ended the PHEIC for COVID-19 on 5 May 2023. The disease has continued to circulate, but as of 2024, experts were uncertain as to whether it was still a pandemic as per Ducharme J (11 March 2024). "Experts Can't Agree If We're Still in a Pandemic". <i>TIME</i>. Retrieved 31 May 2024.) , and it may be valuable to modify a few parts of the manuscript to suit its phases, such as what of it is ongoing now. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>I did not see justification for the word "perceptions" as the manuscript details the issues that the staff faced and their reactions. I also did not see details on the new protocols. I would suggest ADAPTATION OF THE OPERATING ROOM NURSING STAFF TO NEW PROTOCOLS DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract seems comprehensive. No changes are suggested.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The structure is appropriate for the large part.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Consider adding a table that differentiates psychological and technical changes and differentiators for these. For example, how were some technical changes, such as training, different in complexity, the adaptation from psychological changes, e.g., adapting to the death of a colleague. 	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Coronavirus had phases for its inception to the current state. It would be valuable to add references to the phases. These are available in the WHO or CDC site. 2. CC (acronym) in the Introduction section must be expanded in its first occurrence. 3. "Due to the intricate procedures that take place in the operating room, which involve the manipulation of the airways, these processes are inextricably linked to nursing" – manipulation of airways is not the only one linked to nursing. Additional procedures, such as intravenous injections and surgical procedures, are also linked to nursing. This point must be modified to show the added scope of nursing interventions in surgical theaters. 4. "Children under 18" – I'm not sure we include "children" when discussing nursing staff. It is unclear if the hospital employs nursing staff below 18 years of age. 5. It would be helpful to explain the difference in the skills of the nursing technicians and nurses, as the levels of knowledge needed for each group could be different. 6. Table 2 – length of training – is it in years or months? That is important to mention 7. As there were only 2 male participants in the study, it would be good to know if the majority of female participants influenced the study's conclusion. If not, it is important to make that point clear. 8. It would be good to mention the differences between satellite pharmacy and adult or regular pharmacy 9. The authors could have briefly mentioned the new protocols at the CC that the hospital had enforced. Similarly, a brief description of the training imparted would be helpful, adding significance to the context of the protocols. What were the new protocols that were adopted by the nursing staff? 10. The key part of the body of the manuscript is the feedback text of the participants. While it is 	

Review Form 3

	good to see the “as is” statements, further analysis to glean insights on what were the key areas of changes, what were the new protocols, what were the “agile” protocols adapted, how the staff reacted to the quick changes, what were some downstream ripple effects, would you advocate such ad hoc practices in similar situations, etc. would add value to the manuscript.	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>=</p>	<p>I recommend adding the following</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Statement – Solidarity and partnership to save lives: how WHO/Europe worked with the European Union to respond to COVID-19 available at https://www.who.int/europe/news/item/22-08-2024-solidarity-and-partnership-to-save-lives--how-who-europe-worked-with-the-european-union-to-respond-to-covid-19 2. Testing and Management Considerations for Nursing Home Residents with Acute Respiratory Illness Symptoms when SARS-CoV-2 and Influenza Viruses are Co-circulating available at https://www.cdc.gov/flu/professionals/diagnosis/testing-management-considerations-nursinghomes.htm 3. Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic: Mobilizing Nursing Leadership to Advance Global Equity available at https://aannet.org/page/lessons-from-covid-2022 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript contributes to the literature on COVID-19 and nursing practices. The authors effectively address the challenges around surgical nursing resources and facilities during the pandemic and provide insights into them. The focus on nursing staff and their statements verbatim of the study is particularly noteworthy.</p> <p>However, I would like to elaborate on a few specific areas I mentioned earlier. Additionally, I suggest, if possible, add framework for the overall change management and agile practices on the new protocols that were adopted by the hospital's nursing community.</p> <p>Overall, the manuscript is well-written and provides valuable information for researchers and practitioners in the nursing field.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deepa Bhide
Department, University & Country	India