

**ReviewForm3**

JournalName:	<b>JournalofAdvancesinMedicineandMedicalResearch</b>
ManuscriptNumber:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_123562</b>
TitleoftheManuscript:	<b>TheUseOfAnti-Inflammatory,Analgesics,AndAntipyreticsDuringPregnancy:APopulationCohortStudyInACapitalCityOfThe North Region Of Brazil.</b>
TypeoftheArticle	

[ReviewForm3](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The research subject is interesting because there is a massive use of NSAIDs worldwide do to the possibility of it's over the counter purchase with few tonorestrictions, although these types of drugs are potentially dangerous when self-medicating and even more so in the case of pregnancy. It is surprising, but little sound scientific research in the specific use of NSAIDs has been performed in contrast to its disproportionate use for the treatment of several conditions; therefore, this constitutes an element of scientific interest. Nevertheless, the manuscript could be clearly centered in: 1) the importance of the consequences of the use of NSAIDs in the specific studied population, 2) and how the body of research could be applied by policymakers as well as clinicians to generate a positive impact, instead of the mere fact of sample recollection as the central pivot of the introduction and discussion sections. This rationale, is considered crucial because data recollection is an instrumental procedure that must serve a higher research purpose.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p><u>yes</u></p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p><u>Information about the importance of the research regarding clinical implications and its utility in policy design.</u></p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p><u>Yes</u></p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p><u>The validity of the instruments for data collection is missing. The authors do not explain how/why the questionnaire was designed/selected and the justification of its components. The inclusion and exclusion criteria of participants was not clearly stated. Additionally, a diagram of the participants would have been desirable.</u></p>	
<p>Are there references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p><u>It is suggested that references for the validity of the instruments are added.</u></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>It is recommended that the authors re-organize the manuscript; in this sense, it is recommended that the authors avoid centering the research around the fact that the investigation had the objective of determining the use of pharmaceutical treatments, and for example further expand (and focus) on the reason why it was important to perform the research, its novelty and why the topic was selected regarding its impact in clinical and policy settings. The validity and characteristics of the questionnaire that was applied should be further explained.</p>	

[ReviewForm3](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Francisco Hilarion Unda Solano</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Public University of Navarra, Spain</b>