

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_125400</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Systematic Literature Review of Infectious Diseases in Nigeria using Topic Modeling</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Considering the efforts have been made by the authors to present an extensive amount of information in a readable manner, this work is appreciable without a doubt.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I would suggest that authors must rewrite the abstract to increase the quality of their manuscript. Because this is the part that everyone reads at the first. Abstract should have more clarity regarding the purpose of the review rather than the working procedure.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Authors must make some corrections to make their manuscript scientifically sound. Throughout the manuscript the repeated lines should be deleted since it is making the write up monotonous. Each software's version that has been used by the authors must be mentioned in the text. there are many corrections required and authors are requested to follow the reviewer's comments throughout the manuscripts.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Authors could have added more citations of recently published papers	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Authors must make all the recommended corrections throughout the manuscript regarding the use of words.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Authors must refrain themselves from making strong claims out of overconfidence and must not directly tell others what to do in a review paper, since the reviewers have a role to decide if an article is publishable or not.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jasmin Hassan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bangladesh</b>