

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_125412
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and activity of the manure worm <i>Eudrilus eugeniae</i> (Kinberg, 1867) in different substrates made of palm oil empty fruit bunches : Case of vermicompost production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Vermicomposting is one of well known approaches in solving the abundance of various organic wastes. In this article on Growth and activity of the manure worm <i>Eudrilus eugeniae</i> (Kinberg, 1867) in different substrates made of palm oil empty fruit bunches: Case of vermicompost production the authors reported the multiplication of earthworms fed with the solid wastes generated from oil palm plantations that pose several environmental issues to the industry. So this article which adds to our understanding of the present EFB management system and is important to those who look for a low-cost technique for the oil palm industry waste management. The article is worth publishing.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No. Here is my suggestion: Growth and reproduction performance of the earthworm (<i>Eudrilus eugeniae</i>) fed with different substrates made of palm oil empty fruit bunches: A case of vermicompost production	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents the objectives clearly and also its significance to the management of organic wastes. It contributes to a new efficient method of treating the EFBs. It is scientifically correct and technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Yes	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sreenivasan Ettammal
Department, University & Country	India