

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	JournalofAdvances inBiology&Biotechnology
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JABB_126115
Title oftheManuscript:	Enhancing YoghurtQualitythrough Incorporation ofMenthaspicata,Moringa oleifera, and MurrayakoenigiiExtracts:A Comprehensive Evaluation of Phytochemical,Physicochemical,Antibacterialand SensoryProperties.
Type ofthe Article	OriginalResearchArticle

PART1: ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Pleasecorrect themanuscript andhighlight thatpart inthemanuscript.It is mandatory thatauthors shouldwritehis/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>1.The manuscript titled "Enhancing Yoghurt Quality through Incorporation of Mentha spicata, Moringa oleifera, and Murrayakoenigii Extracts: A Comprehensive Evaluation of Phytochemical, Physicochemical, Antibacterial and Sensory Properties" is a well-written, interesting, and scientifically merit work under the special issue of LAB based probiotics and era of functional food or food quality.</p> <p>2. Overall, I believe this manuscript has the potential to be published in Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology.</p> <p>3. Therefore, it is proposed that this manuscript be accepted for publication in this journal after minor revision.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Readers are encouraged to suggest that authors use the most concise and targeted title possible.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>No, it is brief, succinct, and highly direct.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>No, it is brief, succinct, and highly direct.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>1. The content of this manuscript aims to introduce functional and beneficial microorganisms for human use in the future as food.</p> <p>2. Choosing the appropriate research methodology for the manuscript's structure is crucial.</p> <p>3. Most English usage is grammatically correct.</p> <p>4. This manuscript still lacks some crucial details that are necessary for evaluating the experiment's results,</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The reference list is current and updated.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>-Most English usage is grammatically correct but it is recommended to check the spelling.</p> <p>-Authors should check that the scientific units used in the content are formatted in accordance with the journal's guidelines and consistent throughout the text.</p>	

ReviewForm3

Optional/General comments	-Authors shouldre-checkthe journal format. -Carefullyverifytheaccuracyof thecontent.	
----------------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Srisan Phupaboon
Department, University & Country	Khon Kaen University, Thailand