

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126115
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Enhancing Yoghurt Quality through Incorporation of Mentha spicata, Moringa oleifera, and Murraya koenigii Extracts: A Comprehensive Evaluation of Phytochemical, Physicochemical, Antibacterial and Sensory Properties.</b>
Type of the Article	Research article

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Work conception is attractive</li> <li>• Parameters are justifiable</li> <li>• Statistical part need more clarity</li> <li>• Body and flow of manuscript is satisfactory</li> <li>• Require more emphasis in scientific representation</li> </ul>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No</li> <li>• Lengthy and non-scientific with typographical error</li> </ul>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No</li> <li>• No need to present place of experiment in abstract</li> <li>• Write down significant differences instead of value</li> <li>• Body of abstract is not satisfactory</li> </ul>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No</li> </ul>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In material and method either source or characterization no. of organism taken is required</li> <li>• 2.4.1- why underlined?</li> <li>• Sensory evaluation- reference?</li> <li>• In material and method- statistical analysis is missing, most important</li> <li>• Write down significant difference</li> <li>• The standard error is very low and uniform- reason?</li> <li>• No superscript for significance?</li> </ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	-	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs comprehensive modifications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Major revision	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sanjay Kumar Bharti</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>U. P Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Pashu Chikitsa Vigyan Vishwavidyalaya Evam Go-Anusandhan Sansthan, DUVASU, India</b>