

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125833
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of Thermo Tolerant Cowpea Genotypes by Field Evaluation
Type of the Article	Research

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I dislike this article because the research presented in it is not well planned. Field research is conducted by following an experimental design which is missing in it. We cannot conclude/identify anything from a single year research which is presented here. Field evaluation specifically require yield data which is missing in this article.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Evaluation of thermotolerant cowpea genotypes under field conditions	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	First of all importance of crop and research problem have to be described in the abstract which are missing here. It is stated that Heat stress affects physiological process and yield both of these are missing in the manuscript. Traits are not specified on the basis of which heat tolerant genotypes are selected.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Introduction is not structured according to the research presented here. Discussion is missing in this article which most important part.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript has many technical flaws. Abstract is not sound as mentioned above. Introduction is not according to the research presented here. Experiment is not following any experimental design. There is no selection criteria presented for identification of heat tolerant genotypes. Discussion is missing totally.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References presented here are old. Various references are missing in the material and methods section.	
Minor REVISION comments	English quality is also poor	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Munir Ahmad
Department, University & Country	PMAS-Arid Agriculture University, Pakistan