

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125824
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EXPERIENCE USING OF FREEZE-DRIED MULBERRY LEAFS' AS COMPOSITION OF ARTIFICIAL DIET FOR FEEDING SILKWORM CATERPILLARS.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The changes in climate and increased spring frosts cause the more mortality in silkworm during the chawki rearing. Hence, there is an urgent need to find effective and cheap means to replace the mulberry leaf with other diets particularly during spring frosts. In this context, the present investigation is appropriate one.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article may be rewritten in such a way to convey about the present experiments.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Are the references sufficient and up to date	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. However, the corrections indicated in the article may be carried out to improve the readability.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K.A. Muruges
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India