

Characterization of the agronomic properties of different vermicomposts from the co-composting of oil palm empty fruit bunches and green waste

ABSTRACT

The accumulation of palm oil industries waste is one of the causes of environmental pollution that is becoming an increasingly concern in Côte d'Ivoire. However, this waste, combined with other simple plant substrates, can be used in the vermicomposting process. That is the context in which this study is conducted, with the aim of determining the agronomic properties of different vermicomposts made of oil palm empty fruit bunches combined with green waste (*Chromolaena odorata* leaves and grass clippings). For this purpose, plastic boxes of 1.5 L in volume were first filled with 800 g of soil. Then, 300 g of the different pre-composted substrates and 4 earthworms were added. In total, 4 treatments : T1 (oil palm empty fruit bunches + earthworms) ; T2 (oil palm empty fruit bunches + *Chromolaena odorata* + earthworms); T3 (oil palm empty fruit bunches + Grass cutting + earthworms) + T4 (oil palm empty fruit bunches + *Chromolaena odorata* + Grass cutting + earthworms) of 25 repetitions each were carried out. For all the treatments, treatments T3 and T4 presented the best values of the substrate decomposition capacity and physicochemical properties. The same is true for the mineral materials obtained. For these two treatments mentioned, the C/N ratio was around 15 and significantly higher than those of treatments T1 and T2, which were around 12. The pH values being variable at the beginning of vermicomposting were close to neutral at the end, thus indicating the maturity of the different vermicomposts produced.

Keywords : Vermicompost, co-composting, oil palm empty fruit bunches, Green waste, Agronomic property.

1. INTRODUCTION

The pressures on available agricultural resources in many tropical African countries are increasing due to fast population growth [1]. To this end, within agricultural lands, few plant residues are left both in the soil and on the surface, which leads to land fragility and a decline in productivity [2,3]. Palliative measures such as the use of sustainable agriculture instead of conventional agriculture based on the intensive use of agrochemicals are envisaged in order to meet the future food needs of populations without exhausting natural resources [4]. In this context, the enrichment of soils with organic matter involves all organic waste products used as amendments or fertilizers [5]. However, in many African oil palm industries, liquid waste (liquid sludge, washing water, etc.) and solid waste (oil palm empty fruit bunches) are generally discharged into the environment without treatment [6]. This waste, which poses major management challenges, can be turned into useful products for other sectors such as energy and agriculture. In this respect, palm stalks can indeed be used as compost to improve soil fertility [7]. They can also be used in the vermicomposting

process which is a new, reliable alternative to increase agricultural production and restore soil productivity [8]. Numerous studies have revealed the strong potential of palm stalks in the context of composting and co-composting with animal waste for the production of nutrients and organic matter capable of improving both the physical and chemical properties and the soil fertility [9,10,6,8]. Furthermore, despite good conditions for producing compost, it has limitations such as the need to set up a composting site, the high risk of weeds, the high working time, and the response of crops to this compost, which varies according to the type of organic matter used and the application rate [11,12]. These shortcomings could be improved by vermicompost, which uses less space with a final product directly assimilated by plants. Thus, the present study aims to determine the agronomic properties of different vermicomposts produced from palm stems combined with green waste. For this purpose, (i) the variation in the quantity of the different substrates subjected to vermicomposting will be evaluated, (ii) the rate of organic matter and the pH produced by the substrates subjected to vermicomposting will be analyzed. (iii) the chemical parameters of the different vermicomposts will be determined.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Study area

The work was carried out in the autonomous district of Abidjan within the Nangui ABROUGOUA University (UNA) located between 5°21 and 5°23 North latitude and 4°01 and 4°09 West longitude. UNA is halfway between the municipalities of Adjamé and Abobo. It is limited to the North by the municipality of Abobo, to the South by Adjamé-Williamsville, to the East by the Military Hospital of Abidjan (HMA) and to the West by the Adjamé-Abobo road axis and Filtisac (spinning mill) [13]. The climate is humid subequatorial.

2.2. Preparation and pre-composting of substrates

The substrates used for the experiment, composed of oil palm empty fruit bunches from an oil mill, *Chromolaena odorata* leaves and grass clippings collected from the UNA, were dried at room temperature for a week, then finely ground and sieved with a 1 mm mesh sieve. They were also pre-composted for 6 weeks to facilitate the activities of earthworms and the digestion of organic matter in the earthworms digestive tracts (Fig 1).



Fig 1: Pre-composted substrates: A. Oil plam empty fruit bunches ; B. Chromolaena odorata leaves; C. Grass clippings

2.3. Experimental design

The compost bins consisted of 100 plastics boxes (1.5 L), with perforated closures for better aeration (Fig 2). The bottom of each box was pierced with tiny holes to allow water draining to avoid excessive water in the boxes. Each box was firstly filled with 200 g of lightly hydrated soil to provide shelter for the earthworms. Then, 800 g of the various

precomposteds substrates were added and 4 earthworms were added. Each treatment was repeated 25 times. Each of these treatments had the following composition :

- **T1** : Oil palm empty fruit bunches + Earthworms;
- **T2** : Oil palm empty fruit bunches + *Chromolaena odorata* + Earthworms;
- **T3** : Oil palm empty fruit bunches + Grass clippings + Earthworms;
- **T4** : Oil palm empty fruit bunches + *Chromolaena odorata* + Grass clippings + Earthworms.



Fig 2: Composter made of 1.5 L plastic boxes

2.4. Nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium determination

The total nitrogen (N) determination method was inspired by the Kjeldahl method NF, V04407 [14]. The carbon and nitrogen ratio was determined in the different treatments. The available phosphorus (P) was determined using the Olsen method modified by [15]. The potassium (K) concentration was determined using the saturation method with an ammonium acetate solution by bath [16].

Determination of the mineral matter content

For this operation, the test sample of 1g was calcined at 550°C for 4 hours. After calcination, the organic matter volatilizes and the mineral matter remains, which is calculated by the difference:

$$\text{MM}(\%) = \frac{\mathbf{W1} - \mathbf{W0}}{\mathbf{SW}} \times 100$$

where **MM**: Mineral matter; **W0**: weight of empty crucible; **W1**: weight of crucible after calcination; **WS**: weight of test sample.

2.5. Determination of organic matter and organic carbon content

Organic matter was determined using the loss on ignition method [17, 18]. This method consisted of drying a 200 g sample of the substrate in an oven (Binder, Germany) for 2 hours at a temperature of 105°C (NF ISO 11465). Once dried, the sample was sieved using a 2 mm mesh sieve. Then 5 g of soil (M_0) was taken from the sample and introduced into a platinum crucible of mass M_1 . This test sample of 5 g of substrate contained in the crucible was incinerated in a muffle furnace (Naberthem, Germany) for 4 hours at 550°C. The mass M_2 of the whole (crucible + ash) was determined. The mass M_0 , M_1 , and M_2 were determined using an electric balance (Shimadzu, Japan) with a precision of ± 0.01 g. The organic matter and organic carbon content was determined according to the following formulas :

$$\text{OM (\%)} = \frac{[M_0 - (M_2 - M_1) \times 100]}{M_0}$$

$$\text{TOC (\%)} = \frac{\text{OM (\%)}}{1,724}$$

where **OM**: Organic matter; **TOC**: Total organic carbon ; 1.724: Conversion factor for OM and TOC.

2.6. Determination of the percentage of organic matter loss

The loss of organic matter was determined by the following equation:

$$\text{POM} = \frac{\text{OM}_i - \text{OM}_f}{\text{OM}_i} \times 100$$

where: **POM**: Percentage of organic matter loss; **OM_i**: Percentage of organic matter in the substrates before the start of breeding; **OM_f**: Percentage of organic matter in the substrates at the end of the breeding period.

2.7. Determination of the percentage loss of the quantity of the substrate

The percentage of substrate loss was determined using the following equation:

$$\text{PSR (\%)} = \frac{W_i - W_f}{W_i} \times 100$$

Where: **PSR**: Percentage of substrate reduction; **W_i**: Weight of substrate before the start of breeding; **W_f**: Weight of substrate at the end of the breeding period.

2.8. Determination of pH

pH was measured with a HANNA HI 83114 portable pH meter. Twenty (20) g of sample were diluted into 100 ml of ultra pur water.

2.9. Statistical processing

The results of the measured parameters were analyzed using R 3.2.1 software. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA1) was used to compare the means of the values of parameters. Before performing these comparison tests, the normality of the data was checked using the ShapiroWilk test. The significance level of the probability value for each test was set at $\alpha = 0.05$. When the analysis revealed significant differences, Turkey's HSD post-hoc test was used to test the differences.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Variation in residue quantity

After two months of earthworm activity, treatment T4 (37.90±97.57%) gave the highest percentage of substrate loss, followed by treatments T3 (35.92±97.90%), T2 (21.88±98.18%) and T1 (14.02±97.94%). However, the substrate loss percentages of treatments T4 and T3 were both significantly different ($p < 0.05$) from those of treatments T2 and T1, between which no significant difference was observed ($p > .05$) (Table 1).

Table 1 : Variation in substrate quantity for the different treatments

Treatments	Initial dry matter (g)	Final dry matter (g)	PSR(%)
T1	300	257.92±2.06	14.02±97.94 ^b
T2	300	234.34±1.82	21.88±98.18 ^b
T3	300	192.05±2.10	35.92±97.90 ^a
T4	300	186.28±2.43	37.90±97.57 ^a

T1: Oil palm empty fruit bunches + earthworms; T2: Oil palm empty fruit bunches + Chromolaena odorata + earthworms; T3: Oil palm empty fruit bunches + grass clippings + earthworms; T4: Oil palm empty fruit bunches + Chromolaena odorata + grass clippings + earthworms. PSR : Percentage of substrate reduction
Values followed by the same letter in a column are not significantly different ($p > .05$) according to the tukey test.

3.2. Variation of organic matter rate and physical and chemical properties

Treatments T4 (29.14 ± 3.18%) and T3 (26.32 ± 2.96%) showed the highest percentages of organic matter loss, followed by treatment T2 (17.08 ± 4.01%) after 2 months. On the other hand, treatment T1 (10.53 ± 2.36%) showed the lowest percentage of organic matter loss. As for pH, at the start of the experiment, the highest values were observed in treatments T4, T2 and T3, with treatment T1 showing the lowest value. At the end of the experiment, a drop in the initial pH value was observed for all treatments. Overall, the pH values were close to neutral, and no significant difference was observed between them ($P > .05$) (Table 2).

Table 2 :Physico-chemical parameters of the substrates

Treatments	OM _i (%)	OM _f (%)	POM (%)	pH _i	pH _f
T1	76.68±4.02	68.60±1.46	10.53±2.36	6.83±0.06	6.05±0.03
T2	82.60±1.16	68.49±3.28	17.08±4.01	8.06±0.03	6.14±0.01
T3	88.96±5.43	65.54±3.02	26.32±2.96	8.02±0.01	6.03±0.03
T4	93.38±4.21	66.16±2.13	29.14±3.18	8.35±0.05	6.16±0.02

T1: Oil palm empty fruit bunches + earthworms; T2: Oil palm empty fruit bunches + *Chromolaena odorata* + earthworms; T3: Oil palm empty fruit bunches + grass clippings + earthworms; T4: Oil palm empty fruit bunches + *Chromolaena odorata* + grass clippings + earthworms; POM: Percentage of organic matter loss; OM_i: Percentage of organic matter in the substrates before the start of breeding; OM_f: Percentage of organic matter in the substrates at the end of the breeding period ; pH_i: Initial hydrogen potential; pH_f: Final hydrogen potential.

Table 3 shows some of the chemical characteristics of the nutrients in the vermicompost obtained. On the whole, the nutrient content of the various vermicomposts complies with standards, but with better levels for treatments T4 and T3. Mineral matter (MM) release percentages for treatments T4 (92.44±5.70%) and T3 (91.16±2.08%) were higher and statistically different ($p < .05$) from those for treatments T2 (76.37±2.11%) and T1 (71.92±3.02%). The same trends were also observed for the percentage of phosphorus (P) and organic carbon (OC). Nitrogen (N), potassium (K) and carbon-to-nitrogen (C/N) ratios were also significantly higher in treatments T4 and T3 than in T1 and T2. However, there was no significant difference between them ($p > .05$).

Table 3: Chemical parameters of vermicompost

Treatments	MM (%)	TOC (%)	N (%)	P (%)	K (%)	C/N
T1	71.92±3.02 ^b	18.68±1.08 ^b	1.69±3.45 ^a	0.09±2.61 ^b	1.96±2.03 ^b	11.05±5.83 ^a
T2	76.37±2.11 ^b	22.60±3.48 ^b	1.88±4.09 ^a	0.13±4.01 ^b	2.30±2.25 ^a	12.02±3.02 ^a
T3	91.16±2.08 ^a	29.96±3.06 ^a	1.98±3.31 ^a	0.47±6.34 ^a	3.62±1.92 ^a	15.08±3.29 ^a
T4	92.44±5.70 ^a	32.38±5.12 ^a	2.15±2.59 ^a	0.51±5.17 ^a	3.84±2.67 ^a	15.05±4.41 ^a

T1: Oil palm empty fruit bunches + earthworms; T2: Oil palm empty fruit bunches + *Chromolaena odorata* + earthworms; T3: Oil palm empty fruit bunches + grass clippings + earthworms; T4: Oil palm empty fruit bunches + *Chromolaena odorata* + grass clippings + earthworms; MM : mineral matter ; TOC : total organic carbon ; N : nitrogen ; P : phosphorus ; K : potassium ; C/N : ration carbon to nitrogen, Values followed by the same letter in a column are not significantly different ($p > .05$) using Turkey's HSD post-hoc test.

Overall, the best values for substrate decomposition capacity and physico-chemical properties (organic matter content, pH, chemical parameters) of the various vermicompost products made from oil palm stalks were obtained with treatments T3 (Oil palm empty fruit bunches + grass clippings) and T4 (Oil palm empty fruit bunches + *Chromolaena odorata* + grass clippings). This indicates the possibility of obtaining quality vermicompost from the co-

composting of Oil palm empty fruit bunches with poaceous residues (grass clippings) and especially with the combination of different types of plant residues (*Chromolaena odorata* + grass clippings). These results corroborate those of [19], who showed in their study on the use of three agricultural by-products (cocoa bean husks, coffee parchment and sawdust) for vermicompost production that combining several substrates makes it possible to make the most of their beneficial contributions and minimize any disadvantages linked to their respective chemical compositions. In the case of these two treatments (T4 and T3), their high mineralization capacity may reflect the ability of the *Eudriluseugeniae* used for vermicomposting to play an active role in decomposing the organic matter present and releasing plant-available nutrients. These results concur with those of [20] who, proved that favorable environmental conditions facilitate the capacity of earthworms to mineralize the available organic matter. Moreover, the higher mineral content of vermicomposts of treatments T3 and T4 is linked to the activity of the microbial flora present in the earthworms' digestive tract. This digestion reflects the decrease in organic matter and total carbon during vermicomposting due to the fact that during the process, microorganisms consume carbon as an energy source for their metabolism, thus mineralizing organic matter. Our results are in agreement with those of [21], who used vermicomposting of municipal organic waste to demonstrate the effectiveness of earthworms in ensuring efficient mineralization of the substrates at their disposition. Concerning the C/N ratio, treatments T3 and T4 showed the highest values. However, these were lower than the optimum C/N ratio of the initial composts, which should be between 25 and 30, although ratios between 20 and 40 are also acceptable [22]. This drop in values would reflect the relatively rapid mineralization of nitrogen and consequently its availability in composts [23]. Studies by numerous authors have also shown that the C/N ratio is an indicator of compost maturity and quality, and that this ratio at the end of composting should be around 10-15 [24; 25]. This is in accordance with the results obtained in the present study. During the vermicomposting process, similar results were obtained by [26]. They showed a variation in N, P and K contents in composts depending on the substrates used. From a general point of view, since the vermicomposts produced are considered to be very rich in nutrients, we can deduce from these results that the oil palm stalks constituting the base substrate would be very rich in nutrients, which could be beneficial for soils and plants. These results corroborate the work of [6] and [7], who showed that palm stalks are rich in nutrients. Also, the amount of nutrients contained in grass clippings and *Chromolaena odorata* leaves would have increased the N, P and K contents in oil palm empty fruit bunches. In addition, the nutrient richness of raw oil palm empty fruit bunches could also be due to the fertilizers employed on the oil palm plantations. Depending on the mix of materials to be vermicomposted, the quantity of each material could have a significant effect on nutrient levels. For example, both T4 and T3 were found to be richer in nutrients than T1 and T2. These results corroborate the work of [19] on the use of three agricultural by-products (cocoa bean husks, coffee parchment and sawdust) for the production of vermicompost, which showed that the combination of several substrates makes it possible to make the most of their beneficial contributions and minimize any drawbacks linked to their respective chemical compositions. Moreover, for all the treatments subjected to vermicomposting, the pH decreased to neutral. According to [28], this drop in pH can be explained by the production of organic acids following the degradation of carbohydrates, lipids and other substances. Also, the production of CO₂ during aerobic degradation contributes to the acidification of the environment by the dissolution of CO₂ in water which produces carbonic acid. These results are in accordance with those [29], who state that under experimental rearing conditions, the pH of the substrate tends to decrease.

4. CONCLUSION

The co-composting of oil palm empty fruit bunches and green waste leads to very good activity earthworms, which ensures excellent decomposition and mineralization of the substrate at their disposal. And this, especially for green waste such as grass clippings and when it is at the same time as *Chromolaena odorata* both easy to access. The same is true for the resulting vermicompost having the physicochemical properties meeting the standards of a mature vermicompost likely to be used as an organic amendment and having the capacity to improve the agronomic performance of a plant. The combination of oil palm empty fruit bunches with grass clippings only or with grass clippings and *Chromolaena odorata* both easy to obtain seems to be popiste to the production of vermicompost with advantageous agronomic properties for a good development of many cultivated plants.

CONSENT (WHEREEVER APPLICABLE)

All authors declare that 'written informed consent was obtained from the patient (or other approved parties) for publication of this case report and accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editorial office/Chief Editor/Editorial Board members of this journal.

ETHICAL APPROVAL (WHEREEVER APPLICABLE)

All authors hereby declare that "Principles of laboratory animal care" (NIH publication No. 85-23, revised 1985) were followed, as well as specific national laws where applicable. All experiments have been examined and approved by the appropriate ethics committee.

REFERENCES

1. Blein R, Bio G, Benoît F & Borgui Y. The agricultural potential of West Africa (ECOWAS). Paris: Foundation for Agriculture and Rurality in the World (FARM). 2008; 116.
2. Koffi KAD, Silué PA, Kouassi KE, Coulibaly TN and Koné KA. Changes in Vegetation Structure and Carbon Stock in Cashew (*Anacardium Occidentale* L., Anacardiaceae) Based Agro-Ecosystem after Clear Forest in the North of Cote d'Ivoire. International Journal of Research in Agricultural Sciences. 2021; 8 (2): 70-78.
3. Gaudaré U, Kuhnert M, Smith P. et al. Soil organic carbon stocks potentially at risk of decline with organic farming expansion. Nat. Clim. Chang. 2023; 13: 719-725.
4. Bonny S. Ecologically intensive agriculture: nature and challenges. Agriculture notebooks. 20(6): 2011; 451-462.
5. Hassan NYI, El Wahed NHA, Abdelhamid AN, Ashraf M, & Abdelfattah E A. Composting: an eco-friendly solution for organic waste management to mitigate the effects of climate change. Innovare Journal of Social Sciences, 2023; 1-7.
6. Geng A. Conversion of oil palm empty fruit bunch to biofuels. In: Liquid, gaseous and solid biofuels. InTech Open Access Publisher, London. 2013; 479-490.

7. Bhupendra K, Mohammad Y, Maulin PS. Agricultural waste management strategies for environmental sustainability. *Environmental Research*. 2022; 206:112285.
8. Mohite DD, Chavan SS, Jadhav VS et al. Vermicomposting: a holistic approach for sustainable agricultural production, nutrient-rich biofertilizers and environmental restoration. *Discov Sustain*. 2024; 5: 60.
9. Singh R, Ibrahim MH, Esa N, Iliyana M. Composting of waste from palm oil mill: A sustainable waste management practice. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology*. 2010; 9(4): 331-344.
10. Bessou C, Verwilghen A, Beaudoin-Ollivier L, Marichal R, Ollivier J, Baron V, Caliman J.-P. Agroecological practices in oil palm plantations: examples from the field. *OCL*. 2017; 24(3).
11. Manea EE, Bumbac C, Dinu LR, Bumbac M, Nicolescu CM. Composting as a Sustainable Solution for Organic Solid Waste Management: Current Practices and Potential Improvements. *Sustainability*. 2024; 16, 6329.
12. Sharma A, Soni R & Soni SK. From waste to wealth: exploring modern composting innovations and compost valorization. *J Mater Cycles Waste Manag*, 2024; 26, 20-48.
13. Missa K, Piba SC, Gnagbo A, Coulibaly Y and Bakayoko A. Regeneration dynamics under *Acacia mangium* stand in the forest relic of Nangui ABROGOUA University, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. *Afrique Science*. 2022; 22(3): 128-137.
14. AFNOR (French Standards Association). Determination of total nitrogen and calculation of protein content. French standard, NF-V04407. 2002; 14.
15. Zemoura Abd EK. Comparative study of some methods for the determination of assimilable phosphorus in calcareous soils in the semi-arid region of Batna. 2005.
16. Touhtouh D, Moujahid Y, El Faleh EM, EL Halimi R. Physicochemical characterizations of three types of soils of Sais, Morocco. *J. Mater. Environ. Sci*. 2014; 5 (5): 1524-1534.
17. CEAEQ (Quebec Center of Expertise in Environmental Analysis). Determination of organic matter by incineration: loss on ignition (PAF) method. MA. 1010 – PAF 1.0. Quebec Ministry of the Environment. 2003; 9.
18. Hasine BH, Aloui T, Gallali T, Bouzid T, El Amri S, Hassen BR. Quantitative assessment and role of organic matter in cultivated soils in subhumid and semi-arid Mediterranean areas of Tunisia. *Agrosolutions*. 2003; 19(2): 4-17.
19. Ehouman NM, Toure M, Soro NM, Bobo GA-R and Tiho S. Growth and reproduction of the earthworm species *Eudriluseugeniae*, (Kinberg, 1867) *Eudrilidae*, *Oligochaeta* in three agricultural by-products. *Afrique SCIENCE*. 2020; 16 (4) 194-204.
20. Coulibaly SS & Zoro Bi IA. Influence of animal wastes on growth and reproduction of the African earthworm species *Eudriluseugeniae* (*Oligochaeta*). *Eur. J. Soil Biol*. 2010; 46, 225-229.

21. Kaviraj, Satyawati S. Municipal solidwaste management throughvermicompostingemployingexotic and local species of earthworms, *BioresourceTechnology*. 2003; 90 (2) 169-173.
22. Misra A, Biswas D, Upadhyaya S. Physico-mechanicalbehavior of self-cementing class C flyash–clay mixtures. *Fuel*. 2005; 84 (11): 1410-1422.
23. Biekre AHT, TIE Bi T and Dogbo DO. Physico-chemicalcharacteristics of composts based on farm by-productsfrom Songon in Ivory Coast. *Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci.* 2018; 12(1): 596-609.
24. Compaoré E, Nanéma LS. Composting and quality of compost fromurbansolidwaste in the city of Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso. *Tropicultura*. 2010; 28 (4) 232-237.
25. Dieng M, Diedhiou A, Sambe F. Valorization by composting of fermentable solidwastecollected at the PolytechnicSchool of Cheikh Anta Diop University in Dakar:Study of the phytotoxiceffect on corn and peanut plants. *Int. J.Biol. Chem. Sci.* 2019; 13(6) : 2914-2929.
26. Huang K, Li F, Wei Y, Chen X, Fu X. Changes of bacterial and fungalcommunity compositions duringvermicomposting of vegetablewastes by *Eiseniafoetida*. *BioresourceTechnology*. 2013; 150, 235-241.
27. Kananama W, TachapattaworakulSuksaroja T &Suksaroj C. Biochemical changes duringoil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) empty fruit bunchescompostingwithdecanter sludge and chickenmanure. *Science Asia*. 2011; 37, 17-23.
28. Chahardoli A, Jalilian F, Memariani Z, HoseinFarzaei M, Shokoohinia Y. Chapter 26 - Analysis of organicacids. *RecentAdvances in Natural ProductsAnalysis*. 2020; 767-823
29. Byambas P, Lemtiri A, Hornick JL, Ndong TB, & Francis F. Rôles et caractéristiques morphologiques du ver de terre *Eudriluseugeniae* (synthèse bibliographique). *BASE*. 2017; 21(2), 160-170.