

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_125324
Title of the Manuscript:	Peanut enhances productivity by improving crop chlorophyll, nitrate reductase and optimizing soil nutrients
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Good research, introduction section was rich in information and fresh references, materials and methods need some more details, results were well explained, and discussion was nice.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title had a lot of details , I think it had to be shorter like "Improving Peanut Productivity by Optimizing Nitrogen and Potassium Fertilization".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	You have to add more details about treatments in abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Why the researcher didn't make more than one season? Where is the statistical analysis, it wasn't clear in the text?	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References were very good but not stated in the same format , you have to write all references by the same method in its section	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor grammar problems.	
Optional/General comments	Good study, needs a few minor adjustments.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mona Fekry Ghazal
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Research Center, Egypt