

Review Article

Perennial Fodder Crops as a Tool for Soil Carbon Management in Agroecosystems

Abstract

Carbon sequestration, typically referred to as carbon storage is defined as the “long-term storage of carbon in plants, soils, geologic formations and the ocean, which occurs both naturally and as a result of anthropogenic activities”. With respect to agricultural sector, carbon sequestration is viewed as the capability of agriculture lands to absorb carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. Out of the different ways in play, cultivation of fodder crops turns out to be promising due to its high biomass production, root proliferation, mostly perennial nature, suitability for wastelands and most importantly as the feed for livestock. Restoration of degraded lands, adoption of pasture-based agroforestry systems, inclusion of grasses, sowing of improved forage species, grazing management, nutrient and water management are strategies that aid in improving carbon sequestration in fodder production systems. Perennial fodder grasses and fodder legumes such as alfalfa are excellent for carbon storage as they do not require replanting after each harvest which avoids soil disturbances that usually associate with annual crops. Carbon neutral methods of cultivation is greatly hoped to convert agriculture from a source of carbon to a permanent sink of carbon at a faster pace.

Keywords: *carbon dioxide, fodder crops, cropping systems, agroforestry, carbon sequestration, carbon neutrality*

Introduction

Carbon, the key energy currency of biological systems including agriculture; in its monoxide and dioxide versions, spurs global warming, paving way towards hastened climate change. The safe limit of atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂), 350 ppm was crossed in May, 1986 and has escalated to a level of 424 ppm in May, 2024 as read in the Moana Loa observatory (NOAA, 2024). The theme of World Environment Day, 2022 - ‘*Only One Earth*’, reiterates the need for bringing out our planet from the fumes of pollution, global warming and climate change. Dependence on fossil fuels (87%), accelerating deforestation (9%) and flourishing industries (4%) opens door to CO₂, into the atmosphere. Oceans, the main sink of CO₂, absorbs and stores 60% of the total emissions, where the leftover 40% posing trouble to the planet’s existence. Decelerating the escape of carbon in its dioxide form needs to be promoted by the adoption of strategies that sequesters and fix these emissions for longer periods. Agriculture, the most vulnerable sector to climate vagaries is looked upon as the most potent carbon sequester with the adoption of carbon neutral methods of cultivation. Among the multifarious approaches in attaining carbon neutrality in agricultural sector, the cultivation of fodder crops turns out to be promising due to its huge biomass production, root

proliferation, mostly perennial nature, suitability for wastelands and most importantly as the feed for livestock.

The growing livestock population create higher demand for fodder cultivation, which currently accounts for only 4% of the cultivated land in India (Patil *et al.*, 2018). In India, the total livestock population has increased by 4.8% and reached 536.76 million in the 20th livestock census in comparison with the livestock census in 2012 (FAHD, 2019). Livestock, the backbone of agriculture sector in the nation, contributes 24.7% of the annual agricultural GDP (Singh *et al.*, 2019). But India has a deficit of dry and green fodder by 12% and 30% respectively whereas, the demand for both is expected to rise to 1012 and 631 million tonnes respectively by 2050 (IGFRI, 2020). In our country, there is a shortfall of green fodder, dry crop leftovers and concentrate feed ingredients by 35.6%, 10.5% and 44% respectively (Singh *et al.*, 2022). In the grounds of climate change, fodder crops could be relied up on for carbon storage and subsequent attenuation of atmospheric carbon which in turn alleviates fodder shortage.

India, housing 17.7% of the world's human population and 15% of world's livestock population, experience a clear food-fodder competition for land, water, nutrients and other resources. On the other side, fodder cultivation benefits the growing human population with high milk-meat production which helps in alleviating the malnutrition-related health problems in humans (Rosegrant, 2002). Further the marginal lands and waste lands, less suitable for agricultural crops can be effectively used for cultivating climate resilient fodder crops. Raising leguminous fodder crops as intercrops improves soil fertility through biological nitrogenfixation.

Carbon equivalent greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and energy consumption associated with agricultural systems are steadily rising to satisfy the growing food and fodder demands of increasing human and livestock populations (Mishra *et al.*, 2019). In this scenario, finding out the optimal fodder-based cropping systems that yield high biomass while minimizing carbon equivalent inputs is of prime importance for attaining sustainability in livestock production.

Carbon sequestration

A carbon pool is a reservoir of carbon that can either store or release carbon over time. It functions as a sink for atmospheric carbon when, over a specific period, the inflow of carbon exceeds the outflow. According to Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU), the pool includes aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, litter, dead wood and soil organic carbon. Through photosynthesis, the CO₂ absorbed by trees, plants and crops get converted to carbohydrates which is stored in the biomass *viz.* foliage, branches, tree trunks and roots and also in soil (EPA, 2008).

A carbon pool that grows in size is referred to as a carbon sink. According to Gadgil (1991), the degrading green blanket of Earth, our forests have been identified as a sink for carbon and its rejuvenation as a means to diminish the adverse effects of climate change. Globally, grasslands sequester 34% of the terrestrial carbon stock, with forests and agroecosystems storing 39% and 17% respectively (WRI, 2000). Goh (2004) conferred the role of forests and stable grasslands as carbon sinks, attributing to its carbon storage ability in

the flora for extended periods. Afforestation and reforestation programmes increase the carbon sequestration potential from 8.79×10^9 tC to 9.75×10^9 tC from a period of 2006-2030 (Bangroo *et al.*, 2013).

Soil, the largest terrestrial sink of carbon, stores it mainly in the form of organic carbon which determines whether soil serves as a sink or source of carbon. Plant roots acts as the mediator for transporting atmospheric CO₂ into the soil pool primarily through root exudations, root death and root respiration (Kumar *et al.*, 2006). Minimum soil disturbances through reduced or conservation tillage, growing deep rooted crops and cover crops helps in preserving the carbon within the sink. Jiang *et al.* (2006) observed that an optimum level of soil organic carbon as crucial for maintaining and improving the water retention capacity, soil quality, soil fertility, soil faunal activity and crop productivity. Lessmann *et al.* (2021) reported a soil organic carbon (SOC) sequestering potential of 0.1 and 2 gigatonnes of carbon per year (Gt C year⁻¹) by the croplands. Agricultural lands, including grasslands and wastelands, potentially fixes about a one-third of the net emission of GHGs i.e. 4.5-6.5 Gt C equivalent (eq.) year⁻¹ (Malaviya *et al.*, 2021).

Biomass, both aboveground and belowground plays a decisive role in the carbon sequestration potential of any vegetation (Montagu *et al.*, 2006). The above ground biomass determines the carbon capture ability of fast-growing crops (Walker *et al.*, 2008). According to CCI (2020), '*Agriculture is the one sector that has the ability to transform from a net emitter of carbon dioxide to a net sequester of carbon dioxide - there is no other human managed realm with this potential*'. An agricultural land's sequestering potential depends on various biotic and abiotic factors *viz.*, climate, soil composition, crop or vegetation type and management strategies (Joseph *et al.*, 2020).

Carbon neutral agriculture

Carbon neutral agriculture is defined as "*the scientific practice of agricultural methods that are aimed at sequestering atmospheric carbon into the soil organic carbon pool and in crop roots, wood and leaves, with the goal of creating a net loss of carbon from the atmosphere*". Multifarious approaches including manuring, nutrient management, agroforestry practices, taking up fodder cultivation in uncultivable and fallow lands, water conservation and harvesting have been entrusted for attaining net zero carbon emissions from agriculture. Sarkar *et al.* (2020) proposed that implementing effective land management practices, along with appropriate forage and grazing systems, can significantly enhance the storage of soil organic carbon.

Integration of diverse commodities *viz.* livestock, fish, poultry, with crops and adoption of crop rotation and use of organic manures contributes to boosting productivity and lowering net carbon emissions, compared to monocropping. Meera *et al.* (2019) reported highest net carbon sequestration and negative carbon emissions from homestead-based farming system. The more diverse the cropping system, the more biomass per unit land area and hence carbon sequestration. Incorporation of legume crops and implementation of multi-storeyed cropping system with high efficiency in utilizing the solar radiation improves carbon storage in biomass. Chethankumar *et al.* (2020) observed rice-rice-daincha cropping system to be twice more efficient in carbon storage than rice-rice- fallow system.

Integration of trees with different land use systems helps in an additional storage of 2.5 to 3 billion tCO₂ eq. by 2030 under the Paris Climate Agreement, which becomes an asset towards bringing down the emission and attaining India's climate change targets (Ruchika, 2019; Chavan *et al.*, 2022). The immense ability of agroforestry in sequestering carbon in their biomass point towards the need to encourage these practices in crop lands, marginal lands and degraded lands.

Carbon sequestration through fodder crops

Perennial fodder grasses and legumes such as alfalfa are excellent for carbon storage as they do not require replanting after each harvest which avoids soil disturbances that usually associate with annual crops. Reduced summer fallow, direct seeding of perennial forage crops, windbreaks, rotational grazing and proper straw management can be practiced for reducing CO₂ emissions and increasing soil carbon (AARD, 2000). Farm machinery and agrochemicals, less depended by the perennial fodder crops attribute to lower the usage of fossil fuel.

Wynn and Bird (2007) reported lower soil organic carbon sequestration potential for tropical C₄ grasses, as the biomass derived will cycle through the soil faster than that derived from trees. Ghosh *et al.* (2021) observed the rise in total organic carbon by C₄ grasses such as *Cenchrus*, *Panicum* and *Chrysopogon* (77 to 91%) and by trees like *Ficus*, *Morus*, *Acacia* and *Leucaena* (63 to 81%) as greater than fallow lands.

Table 1. Carbon sequestration potential of different fodder crops

| Fodder crop | Carbon sequestered (t C ha ⁻¹) | Reference |
|---------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Fodder cowpea | 72.58 | Sundaram <i>et al.</i> (2012) |
| Hedge lucerne | 95.90 | Nishanth <i>et al.</i> (2013) |
| Hybrid Napier | 79.70 | Rajkumar <i>et al.</i> (2014) |
| Hybrid Napier | 112.23 | Bama and Babu (2016) |
| Hybrid Napier | 88.03 | Kumhar <i>et al.</i> (2021) |

Factors determining carbon sequestration by fodder crops

(a) Type of fodder crop

a.i. Annual and perennial grasses and legumes

Permanent grassland refers to the land utilized continuously for five years or more to grow herbaceous plants for fodder, forage or energy purposes. These crops can be established either through sowing or natural regeneration (self-seeding) and are maintained without being subject to crop rotation. Permanent grasses raise the soil organic carbon levels (Post and Kwon, 2000). Legume fodder crops improve the SOC along with protection of top soil from erosion during monsoon rains with its ground covering canopy (Ghulamhabib *et al.*, 2011). Inclusion of legumes in the grasslands doubles or triples the carbon stored in 20 to 80 cm of soil depth (Arias *et al.*, 2001). Gregory *et al.* (2016) suggested that moderate grazing, manure returns, legume cultivation, enhanced pasture diversity along with rotational grazing and

reduced grazing or cutting intensity, helps prevent carbon loss, maintains preserves soil carbon levels and reduces GHG emissions.

Soil organic carbon stocks ($t\ ha^{-1}$) can be computed using the equation formulated by Pearson *et al.* (2007):

$$C\ (t\ ha^{-1}) = (\text{soil bulk density} \times \text{soil depth} \times \% C) \times 100$$

The total carbon stocks (both aboveground and belowground) in herbs and shrubs, can be worked out using the equation given by Woomer (1999). It can be obtained by adding the above and belowground carbon stocks.

$$\text{Carbon stock}\ (t\ ha^{-1}) = \text{total forage crop biomass}\ (t\ ha^{-1}) \times 0.45$$

Carbon dioxide sequestration potential can be computed using the following formula given by Rajput (2010):

$$\text{Carbon sequestered} = \text{Carbon stock}\ (t\ ha^{-1}) \times 3.67$$

Rajkumar *et al.* (2014) reported better carbon sequestration abilities of the perennial fodder crops *viz.* Hybrid Napier (0.92 to 1.24%) and hedge lucerne (0.90 to 1.17%) compared to annual fodder crops *viz.* fodder cowpea and fodder maize in the Southern districts of Tamil Nadu suggesting the adaptation of these perennials as an effective step towards the aim of attaining carbon neutrality. Carbon fixation potential of Hybrid Napier was observed to be more efficient in the black soils than in red soils (Sivakumar *et al.*, 2014).

In the semi-arid region of Karnataka, monocropping of Hybrid Napier, lucerne, desmanthus and sesbania, as well as their legume intercropping systems, excelled the annual fodder cereal monocropping and its legume intercropping systems in biomass production, carbon output and energy yield (Manoj *et al.*, 2022).

Kumhar *et al.* (2021) observed Hybrid Napier in paired rows + rice bean - Egyptian clover, to possess higher total carbon stock of $57.96\ Mg\ ha^{-1}$, total carbon sequestration of $212.70\ Mg\ ha^{-1}$ accounting to a carbon credit of ₹ 49264 $ha^{-1}year^{-1}$ over the sole crop of guinea grass. Usha *et al.* (2021) reported that grass legume mixture of Hybrid Napier in paired rows + fodder cowpea sequestered $20.69\ tC\ ha^{-1}$, which was 4.8% higher than Hybrid Napier in paired rows + Agati; with a green and dry fodder yield of $208.27\ t\ ha^{-1}$ and $48.87\ t\ ha^{-1}$ respectively.

Halli *et al.* (2022) reported higher sustainable yield and carbon sustainability indices from guinea grass (0.9 and 89.29) and perennial tussock grass (0.89 and 71.61) with minimal inputs along with considerable improvement in soil properties making these fodder grasses a sound strategy for carbon sequestration in the semi-arid region of central India.

(b) Crop growth stage

Shehzadi *et al.* (2021) reported that Napier or mott grass produced the highest forage yield ($3.88\ t\ ha^{-1}$) and carbon stock ($3.56\ t\ ha^{-1}$) in 60 days compared to 21 and 45 days. Thus, Napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*), possessing high biomass production potential makes a viable option in washing out the carbon burden from environment.

(c) Nutrient supply

Nutrients in adequate quantities determine the production of biomass and accumulation of soil organic carbon. Organic manure applied together with synthetic fertilizers helps in realizing higher yields. Judicious nutrient management plays a vital role in carbon sequestration by tropical soils (Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 2008). Global potential of agricultural soils to store carbon is estimated at approximately 0.3 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in croplands and 0.5 to 0.7 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in grasslands (IPCC, 2014).

Fodder maize *var.* African tall exhibited better green fodder production and carbon storage potential of 41.63 t ha⁻¹ and 4.15 t ha⁻¹, respectively with the application of improved farmyard manure (mixture of dung, feed refuse and urine, composted and turned at fortnightly intervals), compared to inorganic fertilizer (40.20 t ha⁻¹ and 3.72 t ha⁻¹ of green fodder and sequestered carbon respectively) according to the findings of Thennarasu *et al.* (2014).

In the alluvial soils of Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, intercropping fodder oats with maize resulted in superior growth characteristics, including plant height, tiller count and yields of green and dry fodder. It also achieved the highest carbon accumulation and CO₂ sequestration when treated with 25 g urea, 38.125 g SSP and 7.5 kg vermicompost, followed closely by the application of 25 g urea, 37.5 g SSP and 7.5 kg FYM. At harvest, maximum carbon of 31.35 %, 17.10 %, 12.35 % and 9.82 % was observed in oats, berseem, lathyrus and makhan grass respectively. High carbon uptake in oat may be due to high biomass yield as compared to other crops (Sharma, 2020).

(d) Fodder trees and agroforestry

Agroforestry's key role in regulating CO₂ levels and boosting carbon sink potential, has gained significant attention, particularly following the Kyoto Protocol under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). Agroforestry mitigates the impacts of climate change by moderating microclimates and conserving natural resources in the short term, while promoting carbon sequestration over the long term, more efficiently compared to crop and grass systems (Dhyani *et al.*, 2016). Agroforestry is powerful weapon against climate change due to its efficacy in holding higher levels of atmospheric carbon in its plant parts and soil compared to conventional farming (Yadav and Singh, 2022).

Biomass production and the storage rate of carbon in this vegetation plays a crucial role in assessing the system output and enumerating the CO₂ sequestration rates for mitigating climate change (Chaturvedi *et al.*, 2016). Silvopasture, one among the agroforestry systems turns out to be a very promising land-use system in terms of carbon sequestration in biomass and in soil. Varsha (2016) points out the carbon storage in tree biomass and pasture lands as important attributes of silvopasture system for lessening the CO₂ emissions.

According to Ghosh and Mahanta (2014), by 2040 the carbon sequestration potential of 630 M ha of degraded grasslands and croplands improves to 5,90,000 tC year⁻¹ with the introduction of trees and an additional storage of 12,000 t C year⁻¹ from the existing agroforestry system by practicing improved management practices.

Table 2. The carbon sequestration potentials of different silvopasture systems

| Silvopasture system | Carbon sequestered | Reference |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|

| | (t C ha ⁻¹) | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <i>Acacia mangium</i> + <i>Arachis pinctoi</i> | 173 | Amézquita <i>et al.</i> (2008) |
| <i>Coradia alliodora</i> + <i>Guazuma ulmifolia</i> + <i>Brachiaria brizantha</i> | 132 | Nair <i>et al.</i> (2009) |
| <i>Pinus elliottii</i> + <i>Paspalum notatum</i> | 6.9-24.2 | Haile <i>et al.</i> (2009) |
| Teak + Hybrid Napier | 165.74 | Kumari (2019) |
| Hybrid Napier + Mulberry (2:1) | 147.67 | Varsha <i>et al.</i> (2019) |
| Chinaberry + <i>Stylosanthes hamata</i> | 140.315 | Ahmad (2023) |

Kaur *et al.* (2002) reported that the silvopastoral system with *Leucaena leucocephala*, *Cenchrus ciliaris* and *Stylosanthes hamata* as the components, increased the organic carbon by 1.7 to 2.3 times compared to control. A study conducted at NRCAF (2007) for comparing the biomass production of natural grassland and silvopastoral system with woody perennials such as *Leucaena leucocephala*, *Dichrostachys cinerea* and *Albizia amara*; grass species *viz.*, *Chrysopogon fulvus* and fodder legumes *viz.*, *Stylosanthes scabra* and *Stylosanthes hamata*. The results showed that over eight years, the carbon storage rate by the biomass of silvopastoral system as 6.72 tC ha⁻¹yr⁻¹, which was double that of the natural grassland *i.e.* 3.14 tC ha⁻¹yr⁻¹.

Amézquita *et al.* (2008) observed that the silvopastoral system consisting of *Brachiaria brizantha* + *Arachis pinctoi*, *Ischaemum ciliare* and *Acacia mangium* + *Arachis pinctoi* possess higher soil carbon stocks compared to the native forests. Prasad *et al.* (2012) provided valuable insights into the potential of tree fodder-based agroforestry or farm forestry systems for carbon sequestration. Their research highlighted the efficacy of *Leucaena*-based systems in mitigating climate change due to their ability to sequester CO₂ rapidly.

Narain (2008) noted that the introduction of trees and grasses in degraded arid lands raised the carbon stock in soil from 24.3 Gt to 34.9 Gt. Additionally, various experiments at IGFRI in Jhansi demonstrated that intercropping fodder grasses with fodder trees resulted in higher fodder yields compared to monocropping of fodder Lok *et al.* (2013) noted the silvopastoral system including *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Panicum maximum* (guinea grass) as superior in carbon storage (54.4 to 65.3 tC ha⁻¹) compared to monocropping of guinea grass.

Shamsudheen *et al.* (2014) reported the superiority of *Acacia tortilis* + Anjan grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*) system in carbon sequestration (6.82 Mg C ha⁻¹), compared to tree alone (6.02 Mg C ha⁻¹) and grass alone (4.26 Mg C ha⁻¹) situations. It was also observed that this silvopasture system raised the soil organic carbon stock by from 36.3% to 60% and from 27.1% to 70.8% compared to sole tree and sole grass systems respectively. Toppo and Raj (2018) observed silvopasture as more efficient in carbon sequestration (31.71 tC ha⁻¹) than agrisilviculture (13.37 tC ha⁻¹) and agrihorticulture (12.28 tC ha⁻¹).

Kumar *et al.* (2019) found that the total carbon stock ranged from 112.53 to 181.51 Mg ha⁻¹ in the *Salvadora persica* combined with a mixed-grass system, while it ranged from 102.81 to 138.23 Mg ha⁻¹ in the *Acacia nilotica* and *Cenchrus ciliaris* silvopastoral systems. Toppo *et al.* (2021) suggested the silvopasture system combining teak with Hybrid Napier (88.64 tC ha⁻¹) as superior over the gamhar and Hybrid Napier system (84.72 tC ha⁻¹), the

teak and Sudan grass system (77.68 tC ha⁻¹) and the gamhar and Sudan grass system (77.42 tC ha⁻¹) in terms of carbon sequestration potential, which was 64 to 66% more than that in the native grassland system.

Varsha (2016) reported the fodder production efficiency and carbon storage capacity of 2-tier Hybrid Napier + densely planted mulberry hedges (11111 trees ha⁻¹) system to be the most promising and suitable silvopastoral system for quality fodder production and carbon sequestration in the humid tropics of Kerala. Raveendra *et al.* (2017) reported that coconut + glyricidia intercropping system as superior in terms of carbon sequestration with a total ecosystem carbon stock of 138 Mg ha⁻¹ as compared to 60 Mg ha⁻¹ from coconut monoculture. Calliandra (*Calliandra calothyrsus*), intercropped in coconut garden at a density of 27,777 plants ha⁻¹ with forage harvests scheduled at 12 weeks interval captured 90.46 Mg ha⁻¹ more carbon than the coconut monoculture system, of which 63% stored in soil and 9% in woody stump and root accounted for 72% of permanent carbon (Joy *et al.*, 2019).

(e) Grazing

Grazing is the practice of allowing livestock to move freely and feed on the wild vegetation such as grasses, legumes, etc in a pasture or grassland. Controlled grazing stimulates the growth of aboveground and belowground biomass along with the addition of dung and urine of livestock which improves the production from grasslands. In tropical soils, pasture improvement and management confer to better carbon sequestration (Conant *et al.*, 2001).

Voisin's Rational Grazing (VRG) is an agroecological pasture management system that combines practices aimed at enhancing and preserving soil organic matter by promoting increased biocenosis (Voisin, 1961). In VRG, the entire area is sub-divided into plots, with fodder crops allocated based on the growth patterns of the forage and the requirements of the animals. The paddocks or enclosures should be small enough to prevent animals from grazing on plant regrowth before it has had adequate time to recover. This recovery period should be long enough for the plants to gather sufficient reserves in their roots before the next grazing session.

The VRG pastures are perennial and feature high species diversity, consisting of one to five legume species such as *Medicago sativa*, *Trifolium pratense*, *Trifolium repens* and *Lotus corniculatus* during the winter, along with *Desmodium* species and *Arachis pintoi* that remain consistent throughout the growing cycle. They also include five to nine grass species, including *Axonopus compressus*, *Axonopus catharinensis*, *Avena sativa*, *Cynodon* species such as *Cynodon nlemfuensis*, *Pennisetum purpureum*, *Lolium multiflorum*, *Brachiaria plantaginea*, *Hemarthria altissima*, *Sorghum sudanense*, *Digitaria decumbens* and *Pennisetum clandestinum*. Tilman *et al.* (2001) observed 2.7 times more biomass production in diversified pastures compared to monocultures. Mueller *et al.* (2013) noted that diverse communities exhibited deeper root distribution due to the flexibility in root biomass allocation. Interaction between legumes and grasses stabilizes soil carbon along with nutrient recycling for plant growth (Redin *et al.*, 2014).

In VRG system, the carbon stored in the soil, aerial plant parts and roots were 95%, 1% and 4% respectively. Seo *et al.* (2017) reported the capacity of VRG pastures (115.0 Mg C ha⁻¹) to stock more carbon in the soil compared to the no-till fields (92.5 Mg C ha⁻¹).

(f) Management practices

Fodder-centric livestock production is essential for reducing poverty and ensuring food security, yet it significantly contributes to agricultural GHG emissions. Enhancing fodder production through improved management techniques is crucial for addressing the growing levels of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. Restoration of degraded lands, adoption of pasture-based agroforestry systems, inclusion of grasses, sowing of improved forage species, grazing management, nutrient and water management are strategies that aid in improving carbon sequestration in fodder production systems.

Management practices for augmenting carbon storage offer numerous additional advantages, including higher productivity, decreased erosion, enhanced soil quality, improved efficiency in nutrient and water use, resource conservation, lower expenses, increased soil carbon stocks and socio-cultural benefits. Management systems play a decisive role in determining an ecosystem's fate to become a source or sink of CO₂ (Prasad *et al.*, 2018). Kumar *et al.* (2021) investigated the impact of three techniques for resource conservation *viz.*, rainfed systems, lifesaving irrigation, and in-situ conservation of moisture on the carbon sequestration potential of fodder crops. The study revealed that the combination of Tri-Specific Hybrid fodder, CS5 with *Desmanthus virgatus*, sorghum, cowpea and chickpea) demonstrated the highest carbon sequestration potential, achieving values of 12.25 g kg⁻¹ for total carbon, 41.5 mg kg⁻¹ for hot water-soluble carbon, 3.5 g kg⁻¹ for particulate organic carbon, 289.5 mg kg⁻¹ for labile carbon, 424.8 µg g⁻¹ for soil microbial biomass carbon, along with 54.69% and 39.31% for humic acid carbon and fulvic acid carbon, respectively.

Conclusion

To meet India's ambitious Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDCs) outlined in the Paris Agreement, it is essential that our future development initiatives pursue a "Carbon-Neutral" path. Agriculture not only significantly contributes to climate change but is also one of its major victims and has the potential to play a crucial role in addressing this issue. Given the commitment India made at the Conference of Parties meeting in Glasgow, England (CoP-26) in December 2021 to achieve net zero by 2070, dedicated efforts must be undertaken within the agricultural sector to lower greenhouse gas emissions by implementing suitable practices.

The cultivation of fodder crops plays a vital role in achieving carbon neutrality by enhancing carbon sequestration, improving soil health and promoting sustainable agricultural practices. By integrating diverse and well-managed fodder systems, farmers can effectively reduce greenhouse gas emissions while increasing productivity and resource efficiency. As countries, including India, strive for ambitious climate targets, prioritizing the development and adoption of sustainable fodder crops will not only contribute to climate change mitigation but also support food security and rural livelihoods. Ultimately, fostering a carbon-neutral agricultural landscape through the strategic use of fodder crops is essential for a sustainable and resilient future.

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declares that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

References

- AARD [Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development]. 2000. *Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Alberta's Cropping Industry - things you need to know* [on-line]. Available: [www1.agric.gov.ab.ca/\\$department/deptdocs.nsf/all/cl3010](http://www1.agric.gov.ab.ca/$department/deptdocs.nsf/all/cl3010) [29 Sept. 2022].
- Ahmad, F. 2023. Growth, yield and quality assessment of trees and fodder crops under agroforestry system in Ranchi district of Jharkhand, Ph.D. thesis, Birsa Agricultural University, Ranchi, Jharkhand, 190p.
- Amézquita, M.C., Ibrahim, M., Llanderal, T., Buurman, P., and Amézquita, E. 2008. Carbon sequestration in pastures, silvo-pastoral systems and forests in four regions of the Latin American Tropics. *J. Sustain. For.* 21(1): 31-49.
- Arias, K., Ruiz, C., Milla, M., Fabio, H., and Escobar, A. 2001. Carbon storage by *Glyricidia sepium* in agroforestry systems in Yaracuy, Venezuela. *Livest. Res. Rural Dev.* 13:5.
- Bama, K.S. and Babu, C., 2016. Perennial forages as a tool for sequestering atmospheric carbon by best management practices for better soil quality and environmental safety. *Forage Res.* 42(3): 149-157.
- Bangroo, S.A., Tahir, A., Sheraz, M.S., Najar, G.R., and Sofi, J.A. 2013. Carbon and greenhouse gas mitigation through soil carbon sequestration potential of adaptive agriculture and agroforestry systems. *Range Manag. Agrofor.* 34(1): 1-11.
- Bhattacharyya, R., Kundu, S., Prakash, V., and Gupta, H.S. 2008. Sustainability under combined application of mineral and organic fertilizers in a rainfed soybean wheat system of the Indian Himalayas. *Eur. J. Agron.* 28: 33-46.
- CCI [The Carbon Cycle Institute]. 2020. *Carbon Farming* [on-line]. Available: <http://www.carboncycle.org/%20carbon-farming> [20 Oct. 2022].
- Chaturvedi, O.P., Handa, A.K., Kaushal, R., Uthappa, A.R., Sarvade, S., and Panwar, P. 2016. Biomass production and carbon sequestration through agroforestry. *Range Manag. Agrofor.* 37(2): 116-127.
- Chavan, S., Uthappa, A.R., and Keerthika. 2022. Can agroforestry help achieve sustainable developmental goals? [on-line] Available: <https://www.downtoearth.org.in/blog/forests/can-agroforestry-help-achieve-sustainable-developmental-goals--82769> [20 Oct. 2022].
- Chethankumar, P., Meera, A.V., John, J., and Leno, N. 2020. Influence of diverse cropping systems on soil health and carbon pool at varied depths in a wetland riverine alluvial soil. *Int. J. Chem. Stud.* 8(5): 2092-2097.

- Conant, R.T., Paustian, K., and Elliott, E.T. 2001. Grassland management and conversion into grassland: effects on soil carbon. *Ecol. Appl.* 11: 343-355.
- Dhyani, S.K., Ram, A., and Dev, I., 2016. Potential of agroforestry systems in carbon sequestration in India. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 86 (9): 1103-1112.
- EPA [Environmental Protection Agency]. 2008. *Carbon Sequestration in Agriculture and Forestry* [on-line]. Available: www.epa.gov/sequestration/index.html [15 Oct. 2022].
- FAHD [Ministry of Fisheries, Animal Husbandry and Dairying]. 2019. *20th Livestock Census - 2019: All India Report* [on-line]. Available: <https://ruralindiaonline.org/en/library/resource/20th-livestock-census-2019-all-india-report.pdf> [18 Oct. 2022].
- Gadgil, M. 1991. Restoring India's forest wealth. *Nat. Res.* 27(2): 12-20.
- Ghosh, A., Kumar, R.V., Manna, M.C., Singh, A.K., Parihar, C.M., Kumar, S., Roy, A.K., and Koli, P. 2021. Eco-restoration of degraded lands through trees and grasses improves soil carbon sequestration and biological activity in tropical climates. *Ecol. Eng.* 162: 106-176.
- Ghosh, P.K. and Mahanta, S.K. 2014. Carbon sequestration in grassland systems. *Range Manag. Agrofor.* 35(2): 173-181.
- Ghulamhabib, M.F., Hassan, M.F., Akmal, M., Ahmad, S., and Ghufanullah. 2011. Maize as fodder under cereal based rotation with legume as catch crop and mineral N. *Pakist. J. Bot.* 43(2): 921-928.
- Goh, K.M. 2004. Carbon sequestration and stabilization in soils: implications for soil productivity and climate change. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. J.* 50: 467-476.
- Gregory, A.S., Dungait, J.A.J., Watts, C.W., Bol, R., Dixon, E.R., Whited, R.P., and Whitmorea, A.P. 2016. Long-term management changes topsoil and subsoil organic carbon and nitrogen dynamics in a temperate agricultural system. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 67: 420-430.
- Haile, S.G., Nair, V.D., and Nair, P.K.R. 2009. Contribution of trees to carbon storage in soils of silvopastoral systems in Florida, USA. *Glob. Change Biol.* 16(1): 427-438.
- Halli, M., Govindasamy, P., Choudhary, M., Srinivasan, R., Prasad, M., Wasnik, V.K., Yadav, V.K., Singk, A.K., Kumar, S., Vijay, D., and Pathak, H. 2022. Range grasses to improve soil properties, carbon sustainability, and fodder security in degraded lands of semi-arid regions. *Sci. Total Environ.* 851(2): 158-166.
- IGFRI [Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute]. 2020. *Vision 2050* [on-line]. Available: [www.igfri.res.in/cms/Publication/Miscellaneous/IGFRI,20Jhansi20\(Vision202050\).pdf](http://www.igfri.res.in/cms/Publication/Miscellaneous/IGFRI,20Jhansi20(Vision202050).pdf) [18 Oct. 2022].
- IPCC [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change]. 2014. *IPCC Special Report on Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability* [on-line]. Available: <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg2/> [25 Sept. 2022].

- Jiang, D., Hengsdijk, H., Dai, T.B., de Boer, W., Jiang, Q., and Cao, W.X. 2006. Long-term effects of manure and inorganic fertilizers on yield and soil fertility for a winter-maize system in Jiangsu, China. *Pedosphere* 16(1): 25-32.
- Joseph, P., Jessy, M.D., and Mohan, M. 2020. Carbon storage and mineralization potential of soils under major plantation crops in the Western Ghats region of Kerala, India. *J. Plant. Crops* 48(3): 259-268.
- Joy, J., Raj, A.K., Kunhamu, T.K., Jamaludheen, V., and Jayasree, K. 2019. Fodder production and carbon stock of calliandra under coconut plantation. *Range Manag. Agrofor.* 40(1): 109-117.
- Kaur, B., Gupta, S.R., and Singh, G. 2002. Carbon storage and nitrogen cycling in silvopastoral system on sodic soil north-western India. *Agrofor. Syst.* 54: 21-29.
- Kumar, R., Pandey, S., and Pandey, A. 2006. Plant roots and carbon sequestration. *Curr. Sci.* 91(7): 885-890.
- Kumar, S., Kumar, T.K., Singh, J.B., Prasad, M., and Ghosh, P.K. 2021. Effect of food-fodder farming on sustainable resources use and carbon dynamics in rainfed semi-arid tropics of India. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 52(18): 2177-2190.
- Kumar, T., Kumari, B., Arya, S., and Kaushik, P. 2019. Tree growth, litterfall and leaf litter decomposition of *Eucalyptus tereticornis* based agri-silviculture system. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.* 8(4): 3014-3023.
- Kumari T.S., 2019. Carbon sequestration potential of tree species and forage crop under silvopastoral system. Ph.D. thesis, Birsa Agricultural University, Ranchi, Jharkhand, 221p.
- Kumhar, B.L., Agrawal, K.K., Ahirwal, A., Meena, H.P., Yadav, R.K., and Yadav, B.D. 2021. Effect of grass-based cropping systems on carbon sequestration under changing climate scenario. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 91(10): 1482-1486.
- Lessmann, M., Ros, G.H., Young, M.D., and de Vries, W. 2021. Global variation in soil carbon sequestration potential through improved cropland management. *Glob. Change Biol.* 28(3): 1162-1177.
- Lok, S., Fraga, S., Noda, A., and Garcia, M. 2013. Soil carbon storage in three tropical bovine cattle systems under exploitation. *Cuba. J. Agric. Sci.* 47(1): 75-82.
- Malaviya, D.R., Roy, A.K., and Kaushal, P. 2021. Potential of C4 tropical grasses to contribute in carbon sequestration, environmental security and livelihood opportunities through increased fodder availability. In: *International Grassland Congress on 'Sustainable Use of Grassland and Rangeland Resources for Improved Livelihoods'*, 25- 29 October 2021, IGFRI, Jhansi [on-line]. Available: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=4811&context=igc> [21 Oct. 2022].

- Manoj, K.N., Shekara, B.G., Sridhara, S., Mudalagiriappa, Chikkarugi, N.M., Gopakkali, P., Jha, P.K., and Prasad, P.V.V. 2022. Carbon footprint assessment and energy budgeting of different annual and perennial forage cropping systems: a study from the semi-arid region of Karnataka, India. *Agron.* 12: 1783.
- Meera, A.V., John, J., Sudha, B., Sajeena, A., Jacob, D., and Bindhu, J.S. 2019. Greenhouse gas emission from integrated farming system models: a comparative study. *Green Farming* 10(6): 696-701.
- Mishra, P.K., Sharma, S., Tripathi, H., and Pandey, D. 2019. Energy input for fodder crop productions under different types of farming systems. *Plant Arch.* 19: 1358-1362.
- Montagu, K.D., Duttmer, K., Barton, C.V.M., and Cowie, A.L. 2006. Developing general allometric relationships for regional estimates of carbon sequestration - an example using *Eucalyptus pilularis* from seven contrasting sites. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 204(1): 115-129.
- Mueller, K.E., Tilman, D., Fornara, D.A., and Hobbie, S.E. 2013. Root depth distribution and the diversity-productivity relationship in a long-term grassland experiment. *Ecol.* 94: 787-793.
- Nair, P.K.R., Kumar, B.M., and Nair, V.D. 2009. Agroforestry as a strategy for carbon sequestration. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* 172: 10-23.
- Narain, P. 2008. Dryland management in arid ecosystem. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* 56: 337-347.
- Nishanth B., Rajkumar, J.S.I., Sundaram, S.M., Sivakumar, T., Sankaran, V.M., and Vanan, T.T. 2013. Sequestration of atmospheric carbon through forage crops cultivated in Ramayanpatti, Tirunelveli District, Tamilnadu, India. *Res. J. Agric. For. Sci.* 1(3): 11-14.
- NOAA [National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration]. 2024. *Carbon dioxide now more than 50% higher than Pre-industrial Levels* [on-line]. Available: <https://www.noaa.gov/news-release/carbon-dioxide-now-more-than-50-higher-than-pre-industrial-levels> [20 August 2024].
- NRCAF [National Research Centre for Agroforestry]. 2007. *Vision 2025: NRCAF Perspective Plan* [on-line]. Available: <https://www.worldcat.org/title/818825765> [25 Sept. 2022].
- Patil, L.M., Kauthale, V.K., Bhalani, T.G., and Modi, D.J. 2018. Productivity and economics of different forage production systems in south Gujarat conditions of India. *Forage Res.* 44: 14-18.
- Pearson, Timothy, R.H., Brown, Sandra, L., and Birdsey. 2007. Measurement guidelines for the sequestration of forest carbon. *Gen. Tech. Rep.* 42p.
- Post, W.M. and Kwon, K.C. 2000. Soil carbon sequestration and land-use change: processes and potential. *Glob. Change Biol.* 6: 317-328.
- Prasad, J.V.N.S., Srinivas, K., Rao, S., Ramesh, Venkatravamma, K., and Venkateswarlu, B. 2012. Biomass productivity and carbon stocks of farm forestry and agroforestry systems of leucaena and eucalyptus in Andhra Pradesh, India. *Curr. Sci.* 103(5): 536-540.

- Prasad, M., Chaudhary, M., and Srinivasan, R. 2018. Role of fodder production systems to improve carbon sequestration and environmental sustainability. *Glob. J. Biosci. Biotechnol.* 7(1): 81-87.
- Rajkumar, J.S.I., Nishanth, B., Sundaram, M.S., Sankaran, V.M., and Sivakumar, T. 2014. Scope of popular fodder crops in carbon sequestration for mitigating carbon emissions. *Bioinfo. Environ. Pollut.* 4(1): 42-45.
- Rajput, B.S. 2010. Bio-economic appraisal and carbon sequestration potential of different land use systems in temperate north-western Himalayas. Ph.D. thesis, Dr Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, 194p.
- Raveendra, S.A.S.T., Atapattu, A.A.A.J., Senarathne, S.H.S., Ranasinghe, C.S., and Weerasinghe, K.W.L.K. 2017. Evaluation of the carbon sequestration potential of intercropping systems under coconut in Sri Lanka. *Int. J. Geol. Earth Environ. Sci.* 7(1): 119-127.
- Redin, M., Guénon, R., Recous, S., Schmatz, R., de Freitas, L.L., and Aita, C. 2014. Carbon mineralization in soil of roots from twenty crop species, as affected by their chemical composition and botanical family. *Plant Soil* 378: 205-214.
- Rosegrant, M.W., Cai, X., and Cline, S.A. 2002. "Global water outlook to 2025," Food policy reports 14, International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), Washington D.C., United States. Available: <https://ideas.repec.org/p/fpr/fprepo/14.html> [21 Oct. 2024]
- Ruchika, S. 2019. People are key to India's carbon sequestration vision [on-line]. Available: <https://indiaclimatedialogue.net/2019/07/19/people-are-key-to-indias-carbon-sequestration-vision/> [20 Oct. 2022].
- Sarkar, R., Corriher-Olson, V., Long, C., and Somenahally, A. 2020. Challenges and potentials for soil organic carbon sequestration in forage and grazing systems. *Rangeland Ecol. Manag.* 73(6): 786-795.
- Seó, H.L.S., Filho, M.L.C.P., and Brugnara, D. 2017. Rationally managed pastures stock more carbon than no-tillage fields. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 5:87.
- Shamsudheen, M., Dayal, D., Meena, S.L., and Ram, B. 2014. Carbon sequestration in agroforestry and pasture systems in arid north-western India. *Curr. Sci.* 107(8): 1290-1293.
- Sharma, A. 2020. Accumulation of carbon stock through fodder crops in alluvial soils of Gwalior, MP. *Environ. Pollut. Clim. Change* 4(4): 181.
- Shehzadi, S., Farooq, M.U., Kausar, R., Ali, I., Ullah, M.A., and Shahbaz, M. 2021. Carbon sequestration and biomass assessment of mott grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*), in three growth stages in Barani areas of Pothwar, Pakistan. *Pakist. J. Agric. Res.* 34(2): 300-308
- Singh, D.N., Bohra, J.S., Tyagi, V., Singh, T., Banjara, T.R., and Gupta, G. 2022. A review of India's fodder production status and opportunities. *Grass Forage Sci.* 77(1): 1-10.

- Singh, H.N., Kumar, M.R., Magan, S., Rakesh, K., Hardev, R., Kumar, M.V., and Manish, K. 2019. Evaluation of kharif forage crops for biomass production and nutritional parameters in Indo-Gangetic plains of India. *Indian J. Anim. Nutr.* 36: 25-29.
- Sivakumar, T., Sundaram, S.M., Sankaran, V.M., and Rajkumar, J.S.I. 2014. Sequestering of atmospheric carbon through fodder cultivation- a measure for mitigating global warming. In: *International Conference on 'Food, Agriculture and Biology'*, 11-12 June, 2014, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia [on-line]. Available: <https://iicbe.org/upload/6864C614510.pdf> [20 Oct. 2022].
- Sundaram, S.M., Sivakumar, T., Sankaran, V.M., Rajkumar, J.S.I., and Nishanth, B. 2012. Farming forage crops for improving soil organic carbon stocks in agricultural lands. *Int. J. Res. Biol. Sci.* 2(3): 116-119.
- Thennarasu, A., Sivakumar, T., Meenakshisundaram, S., Sankaran, V.M., and Vanan, T.T. 2014. Carbon sequestration potential of fodder maize (*Zea mays* L.) influenced by manure treatment techniques. In: *International Conference on 'Food, Agriculture and Biology'*, 11-12 June, 2014, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia [on-line]. Available: [https://iicbe.org/upload/6864C614510.p df](https://iicbe.org/upload/6864C614510.pdf) [20 Oct. 2022].
- Tilman, D., Reich, P.B., Knops, J., Wedin, D., Mielke, T., and Lehman, C. 2001. Diversity and productivity in a long-term grassland experiment. *Sci.* 294: 843-845.
- Toppo, P. and Raj, A. 2018. Role of agroforestry in climate change mitigation. *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.* 7(2): 241-243.
- Toppo, P., Oraon, P.R., Singh, B.K., and Kumar, A. 2021. Biomass, productivity and carbon sequestration of *Tectona grandis* and *Gmelina arborea*-based silvopastoral system. *Curr. Sci.* 121(12): 1594-1599.
- Usha, T.C., Agrawal, R.K., Anita, M.R., and Mubeena, P. 2021. Carbon sequestration potential of grass-based fodder production systems in humid tropics of Kerala. *Range Manag. Agrofor.* 42(1): 104-109.
- Varsha, K.M. 2016. Carbon storage potential of intensive silvopasture systems in humid tropics of Kerala. B.Sc.-M.Sc. thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, Kerala, 113p.
- Varsha, K.M., Raj, A.K., Kurien, E.K., Bastin, B., Kunhamu, T.K., and Pradeep, K.P. 2019. High density silvopasture systems for quality forage production and carbon sequestration in humid tropics of Southern India. *Agrofor. Syst.* 93: 185-198.
- Voisin, A. 1961. *Grass Productivity*. Crosby Lockwood and Son, London, 353p.
- Walker, M.B., Faber, A., and Borek, R. 2008. Evaluation of carbon sequestration in energetic crops (*Miscanthus* and Coppice willow). *Int. Agrophys* 22: 185-190.
- Woomer, P.L. 1999. Impact of cultivation of carbon fluxes in woody Savannas of South Africa. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 70(1/4): 403-412.

WRI [World Resources Institute]. 2000. *World Resources 2000-2001: People and Ecosystems: The Fraying Web of Life* [on-line]. Available: http://pdf.wri.org/wr2000_summary.pdf [29 Sept. 2022].

Wynn, J.G. and Bird, M.I. 2007. C4-derived soil organic carbon decomposes faster than its C3 counterpart in mixed C3/C4 soils. *Glob. Change Biol.* 13: 1-12.

Yadav, S. and Singh, T. 2022. Agroforestry-new weapon to fight climate change. *Ecol. Res.* 4: 16-28.

UNDER PEER REVIEW