

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_124996
Title of the Manuscript:	Improving Indoor Air Quality: Using Polymate-777A as a formaldehyde scavenger to Decrease Formaldehyde emission in Plywood Panels.
Type of the Article	Improving Indoor Air Quality: Using Polymate-777A as a formaldehyde scavenger to Decrease Formaldehyde emission in Plywood Panels.

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper takes an intriguing tack by addressing an issue related to sustainability and environmental concerns in the specific context of the use of wood composite goods and resins vs the safety and health of people.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is appropriate to the topic under study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It must present a better classification in the summary: the objective and objectives, the relevance of the topic and the conclusions drawn from the study carried out.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Scientifically speaking, it's unclear what approach was employed. Which scientific methodology is applied? It's a little unclear how to compare the outcomes analysis to the technique. identifies authors listed in the bibliography but not contained in the document; presents tables without a source or date.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The document has some weaknesses: - It should strengthen the relevance of the topic; - Excessive information in keywords; - Regarding the literature review, references are made to authors who are not included in the bibliography presented. - Charts, tables and graphs incorrectly identified as figures.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	- Regarding the literature review, references are made to authors who are not included in the bibliography presented.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, express English is understandable in the academic world.	
Optional/General comments	The article addresses an interesting topic, however there are aspects that need to be improved. Not in a position to be published	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paulo José Santos Ventura
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of Lisbon, Portugal