

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_125853
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRITIONAL ASSESSMENT AND SUB-ACUTE TOXICITY STUDY IN WISTAR RATS FED WITH A COMPOUNDED DIET OF ROASTED PLANTAIN AND FISH SOLD IN OTUOKE
Type of the Article	Original research paper

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Work is important for the preservation of consumer health. The methodology used is well detailed. The results obtained after the study are very interesting. Their popularization is to be encouraged.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract needs to be improved according to my suggestions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The methodology used to collect the data are well presented. The results are well presented. The study investigated the proximate composition of the street food "Bole" and its subacute effect on some biochemical biomarkers of toxicity index. The results are very important for population health.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, then the authors may conform the manuscript and bibliographic references to the requirements of the journal	
Optional/General comments	No comment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diane Nathalie Sènamì Kpogue Gangbazo
Department, University & Country	Université Nationale d'Agriculture, Bénin