

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	EuropeanJournalofNutrition&FoodSafety
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_EJNFS_125005
TitleoftheManuscript:	DevelopmentofDieteticMistiDahiUsingNaturalandArtificialSweeteners
TypeoftheArticle	

ReviewForm3

PART1:ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedbackhere)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents the novelty of <i>Masti Dahi</i> (Indian yoghurt) by using different sweeteners. The research compared the quality of <i>Masti Dahi</i> by using natural and artificial sweeteners for healthy consumers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggested adding "Development of Dietetic <i>Misti Dahi</i> (Indian yoghurt) Using Natural and Artificial Sweeteners" (Indian yoghurt) or another word to refer to the meaning of <i>Misti Dahi</i> , that is a specific name. Some readers will find it easier to understand the title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please provide some results as number in the abstract such as Protein and total solids (TS) content decreased with increase in honey or sucralose used..... how many percent of decreased? Or from x.xx to y.yy to support the content.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure is smooth and appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents the results according to the scientific writing. They compared covering the key treatment (T1-T8).	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Please add the latest reference such as 2020 onward.	
Minor REVISIONcomments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality meets the standard.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Please provide the meaning of each word in Table 2 such as do-, -ve -When the author/s mentioned the previous study, please summarise a related statement to support the results. I saw similar sentences like this "These findings were also supported by the findings of Bakr et al. (2015)." Many times in your manuscript. It is better to give some sentences to support your study. -Please mention a full word, like Total Solids (TS), before making it short. -The statistical analysis of Total Solids in a paragraph mentioned (P<0.01) but the end of Table 3 said (P<0.05), please recheck. -Please provide a table or another top to present the result of microbiological quality. -Is it possible to show a curd of each treatment? 	

ReviewForm3 **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wannasiri Wannasupchue
Department, University & Country	Udon Thani Rajabhat University, Thailand