

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_125327
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnosis of practices and equipment used in the transformation of cassava into attiéké in Banfora, Bobo Dioulasso and Ouagadougou
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript provides critical insights into the traditional practices and equipment used in cassava transformation into attieke, shedding light on the technical, social, and economic impact of this vital food value chain in West Africa. By documenting and analyzing the processing methods, equipment, and challenges faced by local producers, this study contributes to the development of evidence-based solutions for improving food security, safety, and sustainability in the region. The findings of this research have significant implications for agricultural engineers, food scientists, and policymakers seeking to enhance cassava processing efficiency, reduce post-harvest losses, and promote rural development. Ultimately, this manuscript bridges a knowledge gap in the scientific community regarding the attieke value chain, fostering a deeper understanding of the complex relationships between food processing, culture, and economic development.</p> <p>I like this manuscript because</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Unique focus: The manuscript tackles a specific, locally relevant topic, providing original research on the attieke value chain. 2. Interdisciplinary approach: The study combines technical, social, and economic perspectives, offering a holistic understanding of cassava processing. 3. Regional significance: The research focuses on West Africa, addressing critical food security and economic development challenges. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title "Diagnosis of Practices and Equipment Used in the Transformation of Cassava into Attieke in Banfora, Bobo-Dioulasso, and Ouagadougou" effectively communicates the research focus, but could be improved for clarity, specificity, and impact.</p> <p>Title Evaluation:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clarity: 8/10 (clearly states research focus) 2. Specificity: 7/10 (could specify cassava processing aspects) 3. Impact: 6/10 (could emphasize significance or novelty) 4. Length: 14 words (consider shorter titles for better readability) <p>Alternative Title Options:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. "Assessing Cassava Processing Practices and Equipment in Burkina Faso" 2. "Cassava-to-Attieke Transformation: A Diagnostic Study in Three Urban Centers" 3. "Attieke Production in Banfora, Bobo-Dioulasso, and Ouagadougou: A Technical Analysis" 	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is relatively appropriate. The use of "our" and "us" be removed</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>They are appropriate but could be more clarified and interpreted</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates a satisfactory level of scientific rigor, employing a mixed-methods approach combining surveys and observations to investigate the traditional processing practices and equipment used in attieke production. However, the study's reliance on convenience sampling may limit the generalizability of its findings, and the authors should consider probabilistic sampling methods in future research. The manuscript's methodology is adequately described, allowing for replication, but could benefit from more detailed information on equipment calibration and measurement uncertainty. Overall, the study contributes valuable insights into the attieke value chain, highlighting opportunities for process optimization and food safety</p>	

Review Form 3

	improvement.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language barrier may hinder understanding for non-native English speakers The language quality could be rated 6/10 Scholarly communication suitability 5/10	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Improve sentence structure and clarity Use precise technical language and definitions Consider hiring professional editor or language editing services.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adefidipe, Ebenezer Rotimi
Department, University & Country	The Federal Polytechnic, Nigeria