

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_125059
Title of the Manuscript:	Addressing Nutritional Challenges in Low-Income Communities: The Role of Health Education Programs
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is highly important for the scientific community because it addresses a pressing issue: the nutritional challenges faced by low-income and underserved communities. By examining the role of health education programs, it provides valuable insights into how community-based interventions can improve access to healthy food and promote sustainable dietary habits. The integration of educational, policy, and environmental strategies is a strength of the study, offering a comprehensive approach to addressing nutrition-related disparities. I appreciate how the manuscript highlights successful interventions and emphasizes the need for long-term, systemic solutions to ensure sustained improvements in public health.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Addressing Nutritional Challenges in Low-Income and Underserved Communities: The Role of Health Education Programs.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its comprehensive review of health education interventions addressing nutritional challenges in low-income communities. The methodology is well-defined, with a clear inclusion of peer-reviewed studies and a structured process for data analysis, ensuring the reliability of the findings. The manuscript draws on multiple reputable databases, allowing for a broad and diverse set of studies to be examined. Additionally, the integration of evidence-based approaches, such as culturally tailored interventions and multi-level strategies, adds credibility and depth to the analysis, demonstrating a thorough understanding of the complexities involved in public health and nutrition education.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> The author should clearly specify the different contexts of the health education programs targeting nutrition (Healthy Futures, FANE, Eat Right, Live Well...). It is important to show in what contexts these programs were developed and implemented. This is crucial for facilitating understanding and replication in other contexts.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It would be interesting, if the contexts are well considered, to establish health education profiles that impact eating behaviors, depending on the communities: underserved communities and low-income communities. This would be a table that synthesizes the results and presents them in the best possible way.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The reflection remains incomplete. Indeed, it does not address the stakeholders of nutrition-related health education. What can educational institutions do? What can public authorities do? What can households do? What can various associations and organizations do?</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Mvodo Yanick Fredy</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>University of Douala, Cameroon</p>