

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_125529
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF CLUSTER FRONT LINE DEMONSTRATION (CFLD) ON YIELD & ECONOMICS OF TORIA IN RAIN-FED NORTH EASTERN COASTAL PLAIN ZONE OF ODISHA
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is most valuable to farming community for higher productivity and to reduce risk of the farmers while adapting improved technology	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No need to change	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please delete it unnecessary point, I have marked with red colours.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	THIS manuscript is most valuable to farming community for higher productivity and to reduce risk of the farmers while adapting improved technology	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Please follow the guidelines of journal, not mentioned properly, add some more reference in discussion parts. You should refer legume research journal in that plenty of work in FLDS On pulses	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	ok	
Optional/General comments	Abstract should be concise So many mistakes in introduction parts, I have marked with red colours In discussion parts you should add recent references	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fakeerappa Arabhanvi
Department, University & Country	ICAR-KVK, UAS, India