

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_125623
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Antimicrobial Resistance in Bacteria from Seminal Microbiota of Males Undergoing Evaluation for Infertility: A Cross-sectional Study in Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is scientifically apt and technically sound. As we are in the era of antimicrobial resistance and with new emerging diseases, this study aligns with the recent research trends with supporting evidence. The study adds valuable evidence to the field of reproductive health especially infertility.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title of the manuscript is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive. However, few points can be added. The study duration can be mentioned. Conclusion can be more precise connecting to the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. Duration mentioned in the materials and methods section and results section vary (January 2021, Jan 2020). Inclusion and exclusion criteria to be elaborated in the study design. Conclusion can be more precise and language can be more affirmative. Table 1 values given in the table and the text vary in fractions. Please make it uniform.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Well defined methodology and comprehensive data collection renders the manuscript scientifically robust and technically sound. Significant sample size makes the study more valid and scientific. Central theme of the study i.e antimicrobial resistance and multiple bacterial species of semen makes it more aligned with current research trends and patterns. Seminal quality being one of the key factors in the role male fertility, this study helps in the management of reproductive health more precisely.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Grammatical corrections to be rectified. In introduction para 1,4and 5.in 4 th para 1 st few lines to be corrected. Discussion para 1 please correct the word FOE as for . 4 th para and 6 th para minor corrections to be done.	
Optional/General comments	No there are no competing interest in this manuscript	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S Sandhya
Department, University & Country	Venkateswara Homoeopathic Medical College and Hospital, The TN Dr. Mgr Medical University, India