

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_123961
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Cultural Competence Training on Patients Satisfaction and Health outcomes in Diverse Communities.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic discussed in this manuscript is very important in the present situation attributed to the close association of cultural diversity and health outcomes. The cultural competence training should be a part of medical education curriculum . The manuscript will highlight the importance of training and recommend the policy makers to incorporate it in context to existence of wide range of cultural diversity.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, the title could be rephrased as- Impact of Cultural Competence Training on health care workers in Diverse Communities (The reason being the study population is health care workers and heads of departments not patients.)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should start with a brief background followed by aim & objectives, Methodology results and conclusion. (Introduction and objectives are missing)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. At the end of introduction the rationale of study must be written . Objectives of the study should be mentioned clearly. IEC approval number and detail methodology (sample size calculation, study tool such as questionnaire and interview details, also validation of the tool followed by analysis software used etc) should be written. The tables should be with legends and captions mentioning N=?? Discussion section could be improved with more comprehensive statements comparing other relevant studies with similar or different findings. Conclusion could be aligned to objectives. Limitations of the study could be written.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically sound, as it highlights the current need for training in cultural competence. However few major revisions are required such as defining objectives of study detailing methodology expanding the horizon of mixed method research (no mention of qualitative data and its analysis) , exploring more literature for presenting detailed discussion of the study, conclusion aligned to objectives etc. I wish the author all the best for revised submission and further publication.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References could be more and taken within last 10years.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jarina Begum
Department, University & Country	Manipal Tata Medical College, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, India