

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_125267
Title of the Manuscript:	Critical evaluation of neglected Consensus risk factors causing the Recurrent Upsurge of Cholera Outbreaks in some regions in Cameroon: A Systematic Review in the context of Etio-epidemiology, socio-political, economic, cultural and environmental determinants of Cholera endemicity, pandemicity or epidemicity in Cameroon.
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Because it's a systematic review and focused on all the hidden factors which are contributing to cholera outbreak	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Make it short and simple Critical evaluation of neglected Consensus risk factors causing the Recurrent emergence of Cholera Outbreaks in some regions in Cameroon	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Not required	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Not required	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It's sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it's suitable	
Optional/General comments	Good Content	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shweta Kumari
Department, University & Country	Gopal Narayan Singh University, India