

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_125267
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Critical evaluation of neglected Consensus risk factors causing the Recurrent Upsurge of Cholera Outbreaks in some regions in Cameroon: A Systematic Review in the context of Etio-epidemiology, socio-political, economic, cultural and environmental determinants of Cholera endemicity, pandemicity or epidemicity in Cameroon.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Systematic Review</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> ( <i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i> )
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :		

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This is a crucial topic for public health and community development, particularly given the ongoing cholera outbreaks in Cameroon and other sub-Saharan regions. The article provides insightful information, which can greatly contribute to understanding cholera outbreaks and their socio-economic and environmental determinants. However, I have a few suggestions to improve the overall quality of the manuscript:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Wording: Certain terms, such as "blamed," may appear too harsh or judgmental. I suggest using softer, more neutral terms such as "attributed" to maintain the scientific tone of the manuscript.</li> <li>2. Introduction: The review begins directly with country-specific information. I recommend that the authors introduce the global context of cholera outbreaks and include key background information on the disease before delving into specifics about Cameroon. This would help to hook readers with a broader understanding before focusing on the local scenario.</li> <li>3. Literature Selection Process: The methodology does not provide details on how the literature was selected for review. Please clarify the selection criteria, including eligibility and ineligibility criteria for studies included in the review. This will enhance the transparency and reproducibility of the study.</li> <li>4. Theme Development: The manuscript lacks clear thematic sections that explore the consensus risk factors driving cholera outbreaks. I recommend developing specific themes or categories (e.g., environmental, political, socio-economic factors) to systematically present the findings.</li> <li>5. References: The reference section should be updated to include more recent research and studies published within the last five years. This will ensure the review reflects the latest developments and interventions related to cholera outbreaks.</li> <li>6. Visuals: There is no need to include pictures from other sources, such as electron microscopy images, unless they are directly relevant to the new insights of the review. It might be better to focus on data representations like tables and figures that summarize the findings.</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Shaista Bibi Jadoon</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Asia E University, Malaysia</b></p>