

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_125779
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Grass Composition and Distribution Pattern on Pipeline Right- of-Way in Bayelsa State and its Potential Use for Dominant Grazers
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is a contribution to the knowledge of plant species and their distribution in the Sub-Saharan Region. In a context where malnutrition is acutely prevalent in poor Sub-saharan countries, it is a question of increasing animal food production to achieve one of the Millennium Development Goals, namely "the Eradication of Hunger.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Authors must reorganize some subtitles at the level of method.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is original. Authors used modern and sophisticated analysis techniques during the study. It remains to correct some errors but on the substance of the document, the scientific spirit is to be congratulated.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, authors used recent and sufficient references in the manuscript.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1) Authors must correct some references like Shannon-Weaver and not Shannon-Wiener. 2) At the level of results, Table 1 is too heavy to be in the text. Authors must reorganize it. 3) Authors must also put references on all the scientific plant names in the table. 4) It is Shannon-Weaver and not Shannon-Wiener 5) We don't begin a sentence by : Table 1 shows that..., but we comment and cite the concern table in the brackets.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ndjouondo Gildas Parfait
Department, University & Country	The University of Bamenda, Cameroon