

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_125850
Title of the Manuscript:	A Case of Sub-Clinical Mastitis in A 2-Year-Old Sahel Goat: The Public Health and Economic Implication
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the submitted manuscript is important for the scientific community. Mastitis are type of inflammation that has impact on public health and economic implication worldwide including African countries including Nigeria and it contributes to NVRI, Vom and NAPRI, Shika in Nigeria use to this article.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable. It is informative However, the recommend title is as below as its more clear, concise A case study of clinical mastitis in 2-Year-Old Sahel Goat in Nigeria and its Implication on Public Health and Economic	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. It provides a good overview of the impact of clinical mastitis , microbiology methodology, results, and implications of the study. The abstract is also well-written and easy to read however the biochemical test of staphylococcus species can be reconfirmed by molecular based latest techniques	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript is well written and easy to understand. The subsections is informative and simple	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. The methodology is sound, and the results are presented in a clear and concise manner. However, recommended to repeat this tests in organized farms with significant numbers of such clinical mastitis case in Sahel goat in Nigeria	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent. The authors cite a variety of relevant sources, including recent papers.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. Small correction for framing sentences in few sections (but not too essential)	
Optional/General comments	Overall, this manuscript is well-written and informative. It adds on information to the field of mastitis in Nigeria region with staphylococcus species inflammation in Sahel goat, and it is likely to be of interest to a wide range of researchers	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pranaya Pradhan
Department, University & Country	IVRI, India