

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_125634
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of treating alfalfa seeds with gibberellin and nano-fertilizer on productive characteristics and protein content in hydroponic cultures
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript offers valuable insights into optimizing alfalfa production in hydroponic systems. By demonstrating the positive effects of gibberellin and nano-fertilizers on plant growth and yield, the study contributes to the development of more efficient and sustainable agricultural practices. The findings have practical implications for both commercial hydroponic growers and researchers seeking to improve crop performance in controlled environments.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It accurately conveys the main focus of the study, which is to investigate the impact of gibberellin and nano-fertilizer treatments on alfalfa growth and protein content in a hydroponic setting. However, if you're looking for a more concise or impactful title, you could consider alternatives such as: "Synergistic Effects of Gibberellin and Nano-Fertilizers on Alfalfa Yield and Quality in Hydroponic Systems"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Overall, the abstract provides a concise and informative summary of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The overall structure of the manuscript appears to be appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates a solid foundation in scientific methodology and data analysis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references appear to be generally sufficient and recent. Consider adding more recent studies that have been published in the last few years.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The overall language quality of the manuscript is generally acceptable	
Optional/General comments	Accepted for Publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Divakar Chaudhary
Department, University & Country	Rajasthan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, India