

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_124296
Title of the Manuscript:	Amoxicillin oxidation by homogeneous and heterogeneous photocatalysis under solar irradiation
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article addresses an issue that is currently of great importance: Amoxicillin oxidation by homogeneous and heterogeneous photocatalysis under solar irradiation <ul style="list-style-type: none">• However, there are significant flaws in the manuscript's content, which is highly inconsistent in terms of knowledge, particularly in the practical side.• The language is inadequate.• The practical side is not consistent with what was mentioned in the abstract and conclusion, and the analysis of the results is not sufficient.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is not appropriate for this manuscript because the content is not compatible with it. It is better to amend it.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is a little lacking. Because it does not describe the using oxidation processes. I propose making a few minor changes and including additional keywords.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The article's structure is coherent and well-organized.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This work, which addresses the process of removing or minimizing medical compounds from water, is highly significant from a scientific standpoint. However, the technique of presenting it is not totally acceptable. The outcomes contain significant flaws. It can only be regarded as a straightforward experiment or simple study project.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are not enough references in this manuscript. More references should be included, in my opinion, particularly in the results analysis part.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of the article is somewhat weak. I suggest that it be improved.	
Optional/General comments	There are numerous errors in this article. It is my suggestion that the Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences reject it.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alihellal Dounia
Department, University & Country	Ferhat Abbas University Setif 1, Algeria