

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJFRN_122564</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>FOOD SAFETY DESIRABILITY RESPONSE TOWARDS HYGIENE REQUIREMENTS OF COFFEE SHOP EMPLOYEES</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is somehow important in context to food hygiene in coffee shops. The coffee is a beverage that every person uses to consume almost everyday either at home or at shops. Therefore, it's a different scope of research in food hygiene among coffee shops.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Need to be simplified more. Prefer simple scientific words rather than complicated English terminologies	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Make it reader friendly. Revise key words. Summarize the results and methodology in abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is too big and elaborative. More or less looks like a dissertation. Make it short. Heading and subheadings should be standardized as per journal guidelines. Vague descriptions should be avoided	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Background needs to be briefed. Make introduction part comprehensive and conclusive. Theoretical and conceptual framework need not to be so elaborative What was the basis of sample size calculation? Explain the sampling strategy. List 1 and 2 could be put as annexures or supplementary file OR JUST GIVE A BRIEF ABOUT THESE SCALES ALONG WITH THEIR REFERENCE AND SOURCE Merge and reduce the number of tables Domain-wise correlation need to be explored Statistical analysis section need to be explained specifically.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Too many irrelevant references	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Satisfactory	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Kindly check the manuscript in some online software for plagiarism and similarity index	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mukesh Shukla</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India</b>