

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126028
Title of the Manuscript:	CONTEMPLATIVE PEDAGOGY AND INTERPERSONAL TRUST OF TEACHERS IN PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is importance for scientific community as it shows how the contemplative pedagogy can enhance the interpersonal trust among teachers which in turn may help the school heads may use and a fundamental tool the improve quality relationship and teaching of teachers.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes it is suitable	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is quite comprehensive. However, the authors have to rewrite it as suggested and highlighted	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes they are appropriate	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound; however, the authors have to revisit the literature review to show the state of the literature on this research topic.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes, the references are recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Generally, the quality of the language is somehow good. But I suggest correcting the typos and mistakes of language in the manuscript as some of these are highlighted	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript sounds well and can be published in the journal after addressing the comments given by the reviewer	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Emmanuel Bizimana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Protestant University, Rwanda</b>