

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126024
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING THE PREDICTORS OF SUCCESSFUL LEARNING ACTION CELL PRACTICES OF SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study makes a meaningful contribution by focusing on a relatively understudied area—LAC practices among SPED teachers in the Philippines. Its findings are relevant for policy-makers and educators seeking to improve professional development practices for special education teachers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Well-structured and accurately reflects the content of the study, as it addresses both the predictors and the context (LAC practices among SPED teachers). It captures the focus on educational development and professional practices, making it relevant and clear.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract could benefit from being more concise, particularly when discussing the regression analysis. A clearer breakdown of key results would improve clarity.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The problem is well-identified, particularly concerning self-efficacy and its impact on student outcomes, but the connection between LAC practices and self-efficacy could be made stronger in the introduction.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The methodology section could be more detailed in explaining the specific variables used in the regression model. Additionally, the rationale for using specific statistical methods (e.g., why regression was chosen over other analytical tools) should be elaborated for clarity. A more in-depth discussion of the sampling method would also be beneficial, particularly to justify the selection of participants from Davao City only.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language can be improved	
Optional/General comments	The discussion would be improved by delving deeper into the practical implications of the findings. For instance, how can schools in Davao City, or the Philippines in general, implement these insights? The link between the results and future policy directions could be made more explicit. The limitations of the study are only hinted at and should be addressed more thoroughly particularly the potential unexamined variables that affect LAC practices.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J Arumugam
Department, University & Country	PSG College of Technology, India