

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJESS_126024 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EXPLORING THE PREDICTORS OF SUCCESSFUL LEARNING ACTION CELL PRACTICES OF SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHERS |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This This manuscript is valuable for the scientific community as it demonstrates the significant impact of Learning Action Cells (LAC) on SPED teachers' self-efficacy and professional development, directly influencing classroom performance and student outcomes. It also provides context-specific insights that can guide the enhancement of LAC practices, especially in Special Education settings. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | For greater clarity and impact, there should be on emphasis on the identifying factors and effectiveness of LAC practices. EXPLORING THE PREDICTORS OF EFFECTIVE LEARNING ACTION CELL PRACTICES OF SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHERS | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | This paper has clearer explanation of the independent variables and how they were measured. Additionally, briefly mentioning the specific statistical results (such as key regression values) would strengthen the abstract by providing more concrete data. But it is crucial to ensure that the appropriate statistical tools will be employed in the analysis. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | This paper presents a well organized introduction of the context and establishes significance. The manuscript appears appropriate for scholarly research. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The introduction is well-structured but given the study focuses on the influence of several domains of LAC session practices (context, process, and content) on the self-efficacy of special education teachers, multiple linear regression would be the appropriate statistical tool. This will enhance the reliability and validity of the findings. Author should consider reviewing statistical tool to make this manuscript scientifically robust and technically sound. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | References should be within a five-year recency. | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes, it uses clear and formal language | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | James H. Samillano |
| Department, University & Country | College of Teacher Education, Graduate School of Education, Cebu Roosevelt Memorial Colleges, Philippines |